

Privy Council Appeals Nos. 45, 46, 47 of 1944

BECHAN CHERO

v.

THE KING-EMPEROR

JUBBA MALLAH

v.

THE KING-EMPEROR

RAMPHAL DHANUK

v.

THE KING-EMPEROR

Consolidated Appeals

FROM

THE FEDERAL COURT OF INDIA

JUDGMENT OF THE LORDS OF THE JUDICIAL COMMITTEE OF
THE PRIVY COUNCIL, DELIVERED THE 13TH DECEMBER, 1944

Present at the Hearing :

LORD RUSSELL OF KILLOWEN

LORD WRIGHT

LORD GODDARD

SIR MADHAVAN NAIR

SIR JOHN BEAUMONT

[Delivered by LORD RUSSELL OF KILLOWEN]

The question raised by these appeals is now purely an academic one and was indeed determined by their Lordships' recent judgment delivered on the 6th November, 1944 in the case of the *King-Emperor v. Benoari Lal Sarma and others* (at present unreported). In these circumstances the appeals cannot be entertained and must be dismissed. Their Lordships will humbly advise His Majesty accordingly.

In the Privy Council

BECHAN CHERO

v.

THE KING-EMPEROR

JUBBA MALLAH

v.

THE KING-EMPEROR

RAMPHAL DHANUK

v.

THE KING-EMPEROR

Consolidated Appeals

DELIVERED BY LORD RUSSELL OF KILLOWEN

Printed by His Majesty's STATIONERY OFFICE PRESS,
DRURY LANE, W.C.2.

1944