

In the Privy Council.

5, 1943

S.V.B.

No. 20 of 1942.

BLAKE & REDDEN

ON APPEAL  
FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA

IN THE MATTER of a Reference as to the validity of The Debt Adjustment Act 1937,  
Statutes of Alberta 1937, Chapter 9 as amended, and as to the operation thereof.

BETWEEN

THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF ALBERTA - - - - - *Appellant,*

AND

THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF CANADA, THE CANADIAN BANKERS'  
ASSOCIATION, THE MORTGAGE LOANS ASSOCIATION OF  
ALBERTA and THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF SASKATCHEWAN *Respondents,*

AND

THE ATTORNEYS-GENERAL OF MANITOBA, ONTARIO and NEW  
BRUNSWICK - - - - - *Intervenants.*

---

CASE FOR THE APPELLANT

CASE FOR THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF CANADA

CASE FOR THE CANADIAN BANKERS' ASSOCIATION and THE MORTGAGE LOANS  
ASSOCIATION OF ALBERTA

CASE FOR THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF SASKATCHEWAN

CASE FOR THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF MANITOBA

CASE FOR THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF ONTARIO

CASE FOR THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF NEW BRUNSWICK

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

APPENDIX OF STATUTES

---

BLAKE & REDDEN,

17, Victoria Street, S.W.1,

*For The Attorney-General of Alberta*

CHARLES RUSSELL & CO.,

37, Norfolk Street, W.C.2,

*For The Attorney-General of Canada*

LAWRENCE JONES & CO.,

Winchester House, Old Broad Street, E.C.2,

*For the Canadian Bankers' Association and The  
Mortgage Loans Association of Alberta.*

BLAKE & REDDEN,

*For The Attorney-General of Saskatchewan and the  
Intervenants The Attorneys-General of Manitoba,  
Ontario and New Brunswick.*

1942

23 Nov.  
24 "  
25 "  
26 "

GCI. Q.16

27 "  
28 "  
29 "  
30 "  
1 DEC.  
2 DEC.

*Majne Mon 16/12/42*

INSTITUTE OF ADVANCED  
LEGAL STUDIES,  
25, RUSSELL SQUARE,  
LONDON,  
W.C.1.

Nov 23/1942.

1102

Lords Mayfair  
Russell  
Clarendon  
Romer  
Macmillan

Alberta - Alfred Barton KC  
J.L. Stone

Saskatchewan  
J.W. Estey KC (ag sask)  
J.W. Wallace

Manitoba - Charles Romer KC  
J.L. Stone

Ontario  
Cyrus Rose KC  
~~J.W. Stone~~  
C.A. Macgillivray KC

New Brunswick  
J.W. Wallace

Atty Canada D.N. Price KC  
Frank Gahan

C. Barkers  
C.F.H. Carson KC (Can Bar)

Barton

Reads BNA Act

History of legislation Recd p.12

Debt adjustment acts Recs 3-7, 8-9, 11

Reacts questions submitted

" judgments

✓ no *Coffin & Co* v. *Boyer*

2 PM

Reads judgments

authorities

Wm. O'Keefe v. *Brayden* 1889 ac.

*Parsons Case* 7 ac. 81

*J. H. Reid Plow Case* 1915 ac. 330.

*W. Comp. Bk. v. CPR* 1920 ac. 184

3.40. adjourned

24<sup>th</sup> Nov 1942 (2nd day)

11 AM Barton Court

*Lymburn v. Maryland* 1932 ac. 318

*Liquidators v. Maritime Bk case* ac.

*Voluntary assignments case* 1899 ac. 452

(See note 3.30)

3rd day

25 Nov 11 AM Barton continues ready case

J. Laren on Bills, W.L.  
Falconbridge Bills

J. Laren on Bills 1<sup>E</sup>  
Falconbridge Bills

4<sup>th</sup> Day

26 Nov. 11.5 AM Barton continues  
(11 hours argument)

11.40

Hm J.W Estey (A.G. Saskatchewan)

Edgar, Stewart 1932 2 WWR 699  
@ 703

Kortman v Supynuk 1020 1 WWR 45

James v Bonke 1938 3 DLR 287  
C 47 P.

Rowell Report (Committee require copy)

1936 Alta Stat Tax Amens Act Ch ap 96  
See 13a

1936 Chapter 65 Seafloor Act

Prairie Farmers Rabbitt Act 1935 Ch 25  
Can Stats See 4

commis  
necessity of  
liquidation

4<sup>th</sup> day contd

J.W. Estey contg

Jarmus Can. & Act 1929 afft

Lithbridge 1.0. E.

Day v Victoria 1935 3 WWR

ayman v man <sup>1935</sup> same hole  
1935 ac 73

1937 8 W.R. 265. (Fiat)

Brooks v U.C.C. 61 SCR 413

Eddy v Stewart 1933 6 W.R.  
3.

Nov. 30<sup>th</sup>

5<sup>th</sup> Day

J.W. Estey Contines 11 o'clock  
Argued about 1.5 PM  
(5 hours argument)

Romer 1.5. Ontario, Manitoba

Procedure art.

Indirect  
Simpson v. Clark 1.25  
New France

Wallace 2.14 Min to add

2.15 W. Pitt Suggs Case will be take

1 Look at Statute

2. Does it fall under § 92 if so must be dismissed

3 Pitt's Suggs & within 91.

6<sup>th</sup> Day

Dec 1. 11.30 D.N. Pitt continues.

1.30 (to continue argument later)

2 pm. C. F. H. Carson K.C.

Reproval of Ammons Law 1924 ac. 337

BK Tax Law 1939 ac. 117

Bank Act 1934 sec. 75

RSC 1927 Ch. 102 (Interest Act) Sec. 2, 3

Lithgow Law 1940 ac. 573

Reduction Law Ch. 2 ac. 1936 (one lessor)

Indef J v Ross 1937 2 W.W.P. 353

Wombley Law

Can Bank Ins. Act apply h. 22

Ivan G's Law apply h. 15

Trust Co. Act + '9

Af Man v. a.g. Law. 1929 ac. 260

3.45 Argument

7<sup>th</sup> day

Jurisdiction absent

Received 2nd

11 am. C. J. H. Garrison - continuing

John Rees Plow 1915 ac. 330

G.W. Saddington case 1921 sec. 91

Lukay v Rutherford 1924 SEP 5<sup>th</sup>

Aymar v Ag. Com. 1929 ac 260

2.50 PM DN Pitt

3.25 PM Wilfred Barton

8<sup>th</sup> day

11 AM Wilfred Barton

12.40 Argument-Closed

⇒ Finalment Resolved