88,193661.98

In the Privy Council.

B+R

No. 92 of 1936.

ON APPEAL

FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA

BETWEEN

JAMES FORBES

(Defendant) Appellant,

AND

THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF THE PROVINCE OF MANITOBA for and on behalf of His Majesty the King in the Right of the Province of Manitoba - (Plaintiff) Respondent.

CASE OF THE APPELLANT.

CASE OF THE RESPONDENT.

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS.

APPELLANT'S FACTUM.

RESPONDENT'S FACTUM.

HERBERT SMITH & CO., 62, London Wall, E.C.2, For the Appellant.

BLAKE & REDDEN,
17, Victoria Street, S.W.1,
For the Respondent.

GC1. G. 16 Land Allin Farlier . Margin Runch. Margin Runch. . Margin Runch. Da. 3? 1936 Melland. C. G. Finderleads New James V. Mr. Lean Nathan Wilson V. Mr. Lean Manne James Man Mark. 5/2

Manne James Man Mark.

Manne James Manner.

Manner Manner

LEGAL STUDIES,
25, RUSSELL SQUARE,
LONDON,
W.C.1.

A: Finhalen //-30 Now lu-News Endine Juper-1 Clar Jule. 101A & Rines Does mar Cale fati 174 2.5 Reach Crostel' Judguer. Salumi Canna Crostel Creed. As quele Durie a Indice Tanation Tunell What if Walnes over employe more Stan wriges would luylyn have to pay Alberta o Ken 1933 Land Alle James 3000 Sal haddi way den in made more to my to 1000 for mal more boas has the ford // fine Saly free 1 Tun.

2: am. White ently is love Dec failestein Servis Cert 4: Port Svelation, Legislation for I have then by as Down had Contacti. Pro Los Cares mort P18 Appelles faction Down and ar Twin has rest. Cale the Down Joule landing 391 BNA. July Landing 1992. me mayor committee desired makes.

Industry

LA.

John M. Jahan

Jaha L. M. Care Margar 7 Sela Janes 1. Davin fix solay, when list Same laylyed. When No questi I law and a administration Mad grate is Contine law week In a Turice Ear ban for to surpsys Don for Dom Proposes Primie for Primire proposes. Juk Carm V King Rowe J. and Taxes 1934 AC Me to refue his suite I Knis Resport Mr. Called up