

*Privy Council Appeal No. 61 of 1923.*

Sri Ambalavana Desika Pandara Sannadhi Avergal of Tiruvaduthurai  
Mutt Adhinam, since deceased, and another = = = *Appellants*

*v.*

Lakshmana Pillai and others = = = = *Respondents*

FROM

THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS.

---

JUDGMENT OF THE LORDS OF THE JUDICIAL COMMITTEE OF THE  
PRIVY COUNCIL, DELIVERED THE 11<sup>TH</sup> JULY, 1924.

---

*Present at the Hearing :*

VISCOUNT CAVE.

LORD CARSON.

SIR JOHN EDGE.

MR. JUSTICE DUFF.

[*Delivered by* VISCOUNT CAVE.]

---

Their Lordships have listened to the arguments which have been clearly and temperately put before them in support of this appeal, but they have come to the conclusion that it should not be allowed.

The real question is whether the High Court was right in putting an end to the arrangement which was made in the year 1860 under which this trusteeship was vested in the head for the time being of the Adhinam in Tanjore, who necessarily managed the property by a local agent, and in entrusting the management of these Kattalais to the trustees of the temple in Tinnevely. This was a matter in the discretion of the High Court, and, without reflecting in any way upon the Mutt or upon its present head, their Lordships see no ground for holding that the High Court did not come to the right conclusion.

Their Lordships will therefore humbly advise His Majesty that this appeal should be dismissed, and, as costs are asked for, that it should be dismissed with costs.

In the Privy Council.

---

SRI AMBALAVANA DESIKA PANDARA SAN-  
NADHI AVERGAL OF TIRUVADUTHURAI  
MUTT ADHINAM, SINCE DECEASED, AND  
ANOTHER

v.

LAKSHMANA PILLAI AND OTHERS.

---

DELIVERED BY VISCOUNT CAVE.

Printed by  
Harrison & Sons, Ltd., St. Martin's Lane, W.C. 2.  
1924.