Opinion of the Lords of the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council on a motion made on behalf of Mussummat Luchmi in the matter of a suit of Mussummat Luchmi against Amin Chand and others from the Punjaub; delivered 17th March 1894.

Present:

LORD WATSON.

LORD MACNAGHTEN.

SIR RICHARD COUCH.

[Delivered by Lord Watson.]

FROM the statement made at the bar, this case appears to their Lordships to be a very hard one. They regret that the matter is one in which they have no power to interfere. Their jurisdiction in Indian cases is limited to a review of orders pronounced by the High Courts of that country, and in this case there has been no order made except by the District Judge.

So far as their Lordships can see, the only course open to the Petitioner is, to make an application to the Chief Court of the Punjaub under section 5 of the Limitation Act of 1877. It will be incumbent on the Petitioner to satisfy that Court that she had sufficient cause for not making her application within the period prescribed for appealing against the decision of the District Judge which she desires to impeach. Their Lordships are not in a position to express any opinion as to the result of such an application.

Opinion of the Lords of the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council on a motion made on behalf of Mussummat Luchmi in the matter of a suit of Mussummat Luchmi against Amin Chand and others from the Punjaub; delivered 17th March 1894.

Present:

LORD WATSON.
LORD MACNAGHTEN.
SIR RICHARD COUCH.

[Delivered by Lord Watson.]

FROM the statement made at the bar, this case appears to their Lordships to be a very hard one. They regret that the matter is one in which they have no power to interfere. Their jurisdiction in Indian cases is limited to a review of orders pronounced by the High Courts of that country, and in this case there has been no order made except by the District Judge.

So far as their Lordships can see, the only course open to the Petitioner is, to make an application to the Chief Court of the Punjaub under section 5 of the Limitation Act of 1877. It will be incumbent on the Petitioner to satisfy that Court that she had sufficient cause for not making her application within the period prescribed for appealing against the decision of the District Judge which she desires to impeach. Their Lordships are not in a position to express any opinion as to the result of such an application.

