

Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) Decision notice

| Date: | 28 October 2021 |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Public Authority: Address: | Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council Civic Centre |
| | West Street |
| | Oldham |
| | OL1 1UT |

Decision (including any steps ordered)

- 1. The complainant has requested from Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council ("the Council") information relating to the number of injunctions that had been issued on its behalf against residents in the borough between two dates. The Council withheld the requested information under section 40(2) (personal information) of the FOIA.
- 2. The Commissioner's decision is that the Council was entitled to withhold the requested information under section 40(2).
- 3. The Commissioner does not require the Council to take any steps.

Request and response

4. On 25 March 2021, the complainant wrote to the Council and requested information in the following terms:

"Between the dates of January 2020 to April 2021

How many injunctions have been made on behalf of OMBC against constituents residing in the Oldham Borough - which involve attending court.

Either Preventative/ Preliminary/ Mandatory or Permanent injunctions."



- 5. The Council responded on 11 June 2021. It stated that the information was withheld under the exemption provided by section 40(2).
- 6. Following an internal review the Council wrote to the complainant on 22 June 2021. It maintained its original position.

Scope of the case

- 7. The complainant contacted the Commissioner on 24 June 2021 to complain about the way their request for information had been handled, and specifically that the Council was not entitled to withhold the information under section 40(2).
- 8. The scope of this case and of the following analysis is whether the Council was entitled to rely upon section 40(2) to withhold the requested information.

Reasons for decision

Section 40 - Personal information

- Section 40(2) of the FOIA provides that information is exempt from disclosure if it is the personal data of an individual other than the requestor and where one of the conditions listed in section 40(3A), (3B) or 40(4A) is satisfied.
- In this case the relevant condition is contained in section 40(3A)(a)¹. This applies where the disclosure of the information to any member of the public would contravene any of the principles relating to the processing of personal data ("the DP principles"), as set out in Article 5 of the UK General Data Protection Regulation ("the UK GDPR").
- 11. The first step for the Commissioner is to determine whether the withheld information constitutes personal data as defined by the Data Protection Act 2018 ("the DPA"). If it is not personal data then section 40 of the FOIA cannot apply.
- 12. Secondly, and only if the Commissioner is satisfied that the requested information is personal data, she must establish whether disclosure of that data would breach any of the DP principles.

¹ As amended by Schedule 19 Paragraph 58(3) DPA 2018.



Is the information personal data?

13. Section 3(2) of the DPA defines personal data as:

"any information relating to an identified or identifiable living individual".

- 14. The two main elements of personal data are that the information must relate to a living person and that the person must be identifiable.
- 15. An identifiable living individual is one who can be identified, directly or indirectly, in particular by reference to an identifier such as a name, an identification number, location data, an online identifier or to one or more factors specific to the physical, physiological, genetic, mental, economic, cultural or social identity of the individual.
- 16. Information will relate to a person if it is about them, linked to them, has biographical significance for them, is used to inform decisions affecting them or has them as its main focus.
- 17. The withheld information represents the number of individual/s residing in the borough who have been served an injunction, on behalf of the Council, between two specific dates.
- 18. The Commissioner is aware of the content of the withheld information. Given this content, the Commissioner is satisfied that other individuals, most likely within the Council area, would be able to combine the withheld information with their existing local knowledge to enable the identification of the individual or individuals.
- 19. In the circumstances of this case, having considered the withheld information, the Commissioner is satisfied that the information relates to identifiable individual/s. She is satisfied that this information both relates to and identifies the identifiable individual or individuals concerned. This information therefore falls within the definition of 'personal data' in section 3(2) of the DPA.
- 20. The fact that information constitutes the personal data of an identifiable living individual does not automatically exclude it from disclosure under the FOIA. The second element of the test is to determine whether disclosure would contravene any of the DP principles.
- 21. The most relevant DP principle in this case is principle (a).

Would disclosure contravene principle (a)?

22. Article 5(1)(a) of the UK GDPR states that:



"Personal data shall be processed lawfully, fairly and in a transparent manner in relation to the data subject".

- 23. In the case of an FOIA request, the personal data is processed when it is disclosed in response to the request. This means that the information can only be disclosed if to do so would be lawful, fair and transparent.
- 24. In order to be lawful, one of the lawful bases listed in Article 6(1) of the UK GDPR must apply to the processing. It must also be generally lawful.

Lawful processing: Article 6(1)(f) of the UK GDPR

- 25. Article 6(1) of the UK GDPR specifies the requirements for lawful processing by providing that "*processing shall be lawful <u>only</u> if and to the extent that at least one of the*" lawful bases for processing listed in the Article applies.
- 26. The Commissioner considers that the lawful basis most applicable is basis 6(1)(f) which states:

"processing is necessary for the purposes of the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party except where such interests are overridden by the interests or fundamental rights and freedoms of the data subject which require protection of personal data, in particular where the data subject is a child"².

27. In considering the application of Article 6(1)(f) of the UK GDPR in the context of a request for information under the FOIA, it is necessary to consider the following three-part test:-

² Article 6(1) goes on to state that:-

"Point (f) of the first subparagraph shall not apply to processing carried out by public authorities in the performance of their tasks".

However, section 40(8) FOIA (as amended by Schedule 19 Paragraph 58(8) DPA and by Schedule 3, Part 2, paragraph 20 the Data Protection, Privacy and Electronic Communications (Amendments etc) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019) provides that:-

"In determining for the purposes of this section whether the lawfulness principle in Article 5(1)(a) of the UK GDPR would be contravened by the disclosure of information, Article 6(1) of the UK GDPR (lawfulness) is to be read as if the second sub-paragraph (dis-applying the legitimate interests gateway in relation to public authorities) were omitted".



- i) **Legitimate interest test**: Whether a legitimate interest is being pursued in the request for information;
- ii) **Necessity test**: Whether disclosure of the information is necessary to meet the legitimate interest in question;
- iii) Balancing test: Whether the above interests override the legitimate interest(s) or fundamental rights and freedoms of the data subject.
- 28. The Commissioner considers that the test of `necessity' under stage (ii) must be met before the balancing test under stage (iii) is applied.

Legitimate interests

- 29. In considering any legitimate interest(s) in the disclosure of the requested information under the FOIA, the Commissioner recognises that a wide range of interests may be legitimate interests. They can be the requestor's own interests or the interests of third parties, and commercial interests as well as wider societal benefits. These interest(s) can include broad general principles of accountability and transparency for their own sakes, as well as case-specific interests. However, if the requestor is pursuing a purely private concern unrelated to any broader public interest, unrestricted disclosure to the general public is unlikely to be proportionate. They may be compelling or trivial, but trivial interests may be more easily overridden in the balancing test.
- 30. In the circumstances of this case the Commissioner recognises that there is a legitimate interest that the administration of justice is subject to appropriate transparency.

Is disclosure necessary?

- 31. 'Necessary' means more than desirable but less than indispensable or absolute necessity. Accordingly, the test is one of reasonable necessity and involves consideration of alternative measures which may make disclosure of the requested information unnecessary. Disclosure under the FOIA must therefore be the least intrusive means of achieving the legitimate aim in question.
- 32. In the circumstances of this case the Commissioner recognises that the administration of justice is served by the Courts, and that cases will be subject to that transparency which the Courts consider appropriate to each case. As such, the Commissioner does not consider that the disclosure of the individual or individuals who have been subject to an injunction is necessary.



33. As the Commissioner has decided in this case that disclosure is not necessary to meet the legitimate interest in disclosure, she has not gone on to conduct the balancing test. As disclosure is not necessary, there is no lawful basis for this processing and it is unlawful. It therefore does not meet the requirements of principle (a).

The Commissioner's view

34. The Commissioner has therefore decided that the Council was entitled to withhold the information under section 40(2), by way of section 40(3A)(a).



Right of appeal

35. Either party has the right to appeal against this decision notice to the First-tier Tribunal (Information Rights). Information about the appeals process may be obtained from:

First-tier Tribunal (Information Rights) GRC & GRP Tribunals, PO Box 9300, LEICESTER, LE1 8DJ

Tel: 0203 936 8963 Fax: 0870 739 5836 Email: <u>grc@justice.gov.uk</u> Website: <u>www.justice.gov.uk/tribunals/general-regulatory-</u> <u>chamber</u>

- 36. If you wish to appeal against a decision notice, you can obtain information on how to appeal along with the relevant forms from the Information Tribunal website.
- 37. Any Notice of Appeal should be served on the Tribunal within 28 (calendar) days of the date on which this decision notice is sent.

Signed

Daniel Perry Senior Case Officer Information Commissioner's Office Wycliffe House Water Lane Wilmslow Cheshire SK9 5AF