

# Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) Decision notice

Date: 12 February 2018

Public Authority: The British Broadcasting Corporation ('the

BBC')

Address: Broadcast Centre

White City Wood Lane London W12 7TP

## **Decision (including any steps ordered)**

- 1. The complainant has requested the number of complaints found in favour of the complainant. The BBC explained the information was covered by the derogation and excluded from FOIA.
- 2. The Commissioner's decision is that this information was held by the BBC for the purposes of 'journalism, art or literature' and did not fall inside FOIA. He therefore upholds the BBC's position and requires no remedial steps to be taken in this case.

#### Request and response

3. The complainant wrote to the BBC on 10 October 2017 and asked for:

"I wish to make a formal Freedom of Information request relating to the outcome of complaints made to the BBC.

I want to know what percentage of complaints considered via the BBC's internal complaints procedure are found in favour of the complainant.'

- 4. The BBC responded on 1 December 2017. The BBC explained that it did not believe that the information was caught by FOIA because it was held for the purposes of 'art, journalism or literature'.
- 5. It explained that Part VI of Schedule 1 to FOIA provides that information held by the BBC and the other public service broadcasters is only covered by FOIA if it is held for 'purposes other than those of



journalism, art or literature". It concluded that the BBC was not required to supply information held for the purposes of creating the BBC's output or information that supports and is closely associated with these creative activities. It therefore would not provide any information in response to the requests for information.

#### Scope of the case

- 6. The complainant contacted the Commissioner on 3 January 2018 to complain about the way the request for information had been handled. In particular, he challenged the operation of the derogation in this case.
- 7. In response to the Commissioner's letter of 18 January, he argued
  - 'that the BBC enjoys a self-regulatory complaints procedure and yet has no obligation to surrender data that would, if made available, highlight it's competency, or otherwise, in such self-regulation. That is a situation which is, in my opinion, untenable and I wish to press the BBC to the very limit on the matter.'
- 8. The Commissioner considers the scope of the case is to determine if the requested information is excluded from FOIA because it would be held for the purposes of 'journalism, art or literature'.

#### Reasons for decision

- 9. Schedule One, Part VI of FOIA provides that the BBC is a public authority for the purposes of FOIA but only has to deal with requests for information in some circumstances. The entry relating to the BBC states:
  - "The British Broadcasting Corporation, in respect of information held for purposes other than those of journalism, art or literature."
- 10. This means that the BBC has no obligation to comply with part I to V of the Act where information is held for 'purposes of journalism, art or literature'. The Commissioner calls this situation 'the derogation'.
- 11. The House of Lords in Sugar v BBC [2009] UKHL 9 confirmed that the Commissioner has the jurisdiction to issue a decision notice to confirm whether or not the information is caught by the derogation. The Commissioner's analysis will now focus on the derogation.
- 12. The scope of the derogation was considered by the Court of Appeal in the case Sugar v British Broadcasting Corporation and another [2010] EWCA Civ 715, and later, on appeal, by the Supreme Court (Sugar



(Deceased) v British Broadcasting Corporation [2012] UKSC 4). The leading judgment in the Court of Appeal case was made by Lord Neuberger of Abbotsbury MR who stated that:

".... once it is established that the information sought is held by the BBC for the purposes of journalism, it is effectively exempt from production under FOIA, even if the information is also held by the BBC for other purposes." (paragraph 44), and that "....provided there is a genuine journalistic purpose for which the information is held, it should not be subject to FOIA." (paragraph 46)

- 13. The Supreme Court endorsed this approach and concluded that if the information is held for the purpose of journalism, art or literature, it is caught by the derogation even if that is not the predominant purpose for holding the information in question.
- 14. In order to establish whether the information is held for a derogated purpose, the Supreme Court indicated that there should be a sufficiently direct link between at least one of the purposes for which the BBC holds the information (ignoring any negligible purposes) and the fulfilment of one of the derogated purposes. This is the test that the Commissioner will apply.
- 15. If a sufficiently direct link is established between the purposes for which the BBC holds the information and any of the three derogated purposes i.e. journalism, art or literature it is not subject to FOIA.
- 16. The Supreme Court said that the Information Tribunal's definition of journalism (in Sugar v Information Commissioner (EA/2005/0032, 29 August 2006)) as comprising three elements, continues to be authoritative
  - "1. The first is the collecting or gathering, writing and verifying of materials for publication.
  - 2. The second is editorial. This involves the exercise of judgement on issues such as:
  - \* the selection, prioritisation and timing of matters for broadcast or publication,
  - \* the analysis of, and review of individual programmes,
  - \* the provision of context and background to such programmes.
  - 3. The third element is the maintenance and enhancement of the standards and quality of journalism (particularly with respect to accuracy, balance and completeness). This may involve the training and development of individual journalists, the mentoring of less experienced journalists by more experienced colleagues, professional supervision and guidance, and reviews of the



standards and quality of particular areas of programme making." However, the Supreme Court said this definition should be extended to include the act of broadcasting or publishing the relevant material. This extended definition should be adopted when applying the 'direct link test'."

- 17. The Supreme Court also explained that "journalism" primarily means the BBC's "output on news and current affairs", including sport, and that "journalism, art or literature" covers the whole of the BBC's output to the public (Lord Walker at paragraph 70). Therefore, in order for the information to be derogated and so fall outside FOIA, there should be a sufficiently direct link between the purpose(s) for which the information is held and the production of the BBC's output and/or the BBC's journalistic or creative activities involved in producing such output.
- 18. In this case, the information that has been requested is the number of complaints that have been found in favour of the complainant.
- 19. The BBC has confirmed that the information is held by the BBC's Audience Services division 'who respond to complaints and is shared with relevant teams within the BBC depending on the nature of the complaint...the information is used by BBC programme planners across multiple divisions to assist their assessments of a range of compliance and quality issues including but not limited to, editorial feedback, compliance with BBC guidelines and policies.'
- 20. The BBC argues that these are editorial complaints which form part of the on-going review of the standards and quality of programme making and is held to help inform future editorial discussions and decisions to improve the quality of journalistic output: 'complaints and internal complaints-handling data, are used to assist the refinement of programming and other output. Disclosing such data would undermine the editorial independence of the work of the BBC's journalists by revealing the nature of any effect of complaints on programming.'
- 21. The Commissioner considers that the information requested in this case, relating to the number of complaints that have been found in favour of the complainant falls under the third element explained above, the review of standards. The information requested therefore falls squarely within the definition of journalism.
- 22. The Commissioner has therefore found that this information is held for the purposes of journalism and that the BBC was not obliged to comply with Parts I to V of FOIA.



### Right of appeal

23. Either party has the right to appeal against this decision notice to the First-Tier Tribunal (Information Rights). Information about the appeals process may be obtained from:

First-Tier Tribunal (Information Rights)
GRC & GRP Tribunals,
PO Box 9300,
LEICESTER,
LE1 8DJ

Tel: 0300 1234504 Fax: 0870 739 5836

Email: GRC@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

Website: <a href="http://www.justice.gov.uk/tribunals/general-regulatory-">http://www.justice.gov.uk/tribunals/general-regulatory-</a>

<u>chamber</u>

- 24. If you wish to appeal against a Decision Notice, you can obtain information on how to appeal along with the relevant forms from the Information Tribunal website.
- 25. Any Notice of Appeal should be served on the Tribunal within 28 (calendar) days of the date on which this Decision Notice is sent.

Signed	•••••
9	

Pamela Clements
Group Manager
Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF