

TC06376

Appeal number: TC/2017/07182

INCOME TAX – penalty for failure to make returns – whether reasonable excuse – no

FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL TAX CHAMBER

GARY WARING

Appellant

- and -

THE COMMISSIONERS FOR HER MAJESTY'S Respondents REVENUE & CUSTOMS

TRIBUNAL: JUDGE ANNE FAIRPO

The Tribunal determined the appeal on 8 March 2018 without a hearing under the provisions of Rule 26 of the Tribunal Procedure (First-tier Tribunal) (Tax Chamber) Rules 2009 (default paper cases) having first read the Notice of Appeal dated 26 September 2017 (with enclosures), HMRC's Statement of Case (with enclosures) acknowledged by the Tribunal on 30 November 2017.

© CROWN COPYRIGHT 2018

DECISION

- 1. The appellant is appealing against penalties that HMRC have imposed under Schedule 55 of the Finance Act 2009 ("Schedule 55") for a failure to submit an annual self-assessment return for the tax year 2011/12 on time.
 - 2. The penalties that have been charged can be summarised as follows:
 - (1) a £100 late filing penalty under paragraph 3 of Schedule 55 imposed on 12 February 2013
- 10 (2) "Daily" penalties totalling £440.00 under paragraph 4 of Schedule 55 imposed on 25 June 2013
 - 3. The appellant's grounds for appealing against the penalties can be summarised as follows:
 - (1) He was not in the United Kingdom between 19 April 2012 and 25 November 2013.
 - (2) In previous years, he had filed his returns late without a problem as he was "not eligible for tax" as he was non-resident.
 - (3) He argues that the amount of the penalty is disproportionate as it is a fixed amount and so has a more substantial impact on lower earners.

20 Findings of fact

15

- 4. The appellant's self-assessment return for 2011/12 was submitted online and so had a due date of 31 January 2013. The return was received by HMRC on 13 June 2013. The appellant does not dispute that the return was filed late.
- 5. The appellant was not resident in the United Kingdom at the due date for filing of his tax return.
 - 6. The appellant has also been non-resident in the United Kingdom in previous years.
 - 7. The appellant has been making self-assessment returns for a number of years. A number of these have been filed late

30 **Discussion**

35

- 8. Relevant statutory provisions are included as an Appendix to this decision.
- 9. I have concluded that the tax return for the 2011/12 tax year was submitted on or around 13 June 2013. It should have been submitted by 13 June 2013. Subject to considerations of "reasonable excuse" and "special circumstances" set out below, the penalties imposed are due and have been calculated correctly.

- 10. The appellant has argued that the penalties charged are disproportionate. Following *HMRC v Anthony Bosher* [2013] UKUT 579 (TCC) I do not consider I have power to consider the proportionality of fixed penalties such as those charged in this appeal.
- The appellant's grounds of appeal are that he is "not eligible for tax" as he is non-resident and so should not be liable to a penalty. Non-residence is not a reasonable excuse for failure to file a tax return on time; the appellant has been within the self-assessment regime for a number of years and has been non-resident during that time. I consider that a reasonable prudent taxpayer would have been aware of the obligations to file on time. The fact that, in previous years under the previous penalty regime, no penalty was raised does not constitute a reasonable excuse for failure to file on time for the 2011/12 tax year.
 - 12. There are no special circumstances in this matter that could provide a reason to consider reducing or eliminating the penalty.

15 **Conclusion**

13. The appeal is dismissed and the penalties confirmed in full.

Application for permission to appeal

14. This document contains a summary of the findings of fact and reasons for the decision. A party wishing to appeal against this decision must apply within 28 days of the date of release of this decision to the Tribunal for full written findings and reasons. When these have been prepared, the Tribunal will send them to the parties and may publish them on its website and either party will have 56 days in which to appeal. The parties are referred to "Guidance to accompany a Decision from the First-tier Tribunal (Tax Chamber)" which accompanies and forms part of this decision notice.

ANNE FAIRPO TRIBUNAL JUDGE

30

20

25

RELEASE DATE: 10 MARCH 2018

APPENDIX – RELEVANT STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 1. The penalties at issue in this appeal are imposed by Schedule 55. The starting point is paragraph 3 of Schedule 55 which imposes a fixed £100 penalty if a self-assessment return is submitted late.
- 5 2. Paragraph 4 of Schedule 55 provides for daily penalties to accrue where a return is more than three months late as follows:

4—

10

15

20

25

30

35

- (1) P is liable to a penalty under this paragraph if (and only if)—
 - (a) P's failure continues after the end of the period of 3 months beginning with the penalty date,
 - (b) HMRC decide that such a penalty should be payable, and
 - (c) HMRC give notice to P specifying the date from which the penalty is payable.
- (2) The penalty under this paragraph is £10 for each day that the failure continues during the period of 90 days beginning with the date specified in the notice given under sub-paragraph (1)(c).
- (3) The date specified in the notice under sub-paragraph (1)(c)—
 - (a) may be earlier than the date on which the notice is given, but
 - (b) may not be earlier than the end of the period mentioned in sub-paragraph (1)(a).
- 3. Paragraph 5 of Schedule 55 provides for further penalties to accrue when a return is more than 6 months late as follows:

5—

- (1) P is liable to a penalty under this paragraph if (and only if) P's failure continues after the end of the period of 6 months beginning with the penalty date.
- (2) The penalty under this paragraph is the greater of—
 - (a) 5% of any liability to tax which would have been shown in the return in question, and
 - (b) £300.
- 4. Paragraph 6 of Schedule 55 provides for further penalties to accrue when a return is more than 12 months late as follows:

6—

(1) P is liable to a penalty under this paragraph if (and only if) P's failure continues after the end of the period of 12 months beginning with the penalty date.

information which would enable or assist HMRC to assess P's liability to tax, the penalty under this paragraph is determined in accordance with sub-paragraphs (3) and (4). If the withholding of the information is deliberate and concealed, 5 the penalty is the greater of the relevant percentage of any liability to tax which would have been shown in the return in question, and (b) £300. 10 (3A)For the purposes of sub-paragraph (3)(a), the relevant percentage isfor the withholding of category 1 information, 100%, (a) (b) for the withholding of category 2 information, 150%, and for the withholding of category 3 information, 200%. (c) 15 **(4)** If the withholding of the information is deliberate but not concealed, the penalty is the greater of the relevant percentage of any liability to tax which would have been shown in the return in question, and £300. (b) 20 (4A) For the purposes of sub-paragraph (4)(a), the relevant percentage isfor the withholding of category 1 information, 70%, (a) for the withholding of category 2 information, 105%, and (b) for the withholding of category 3 information, 140%. (c) 25 In any case not falling within sub-paragraph (2), the penalty under this paragraph is the greater of— 5% of any liability to tax which would have been shown in the return in question, and £300. 30 (6) Paragraph 6A explains the 3 categories of information. Paragraph 23 of Schedule 55 contains a defence of "reasonable excuse" as follows: 23— Liability to a penalty under any paragraph of this Schedule does not arise in relation to a failure to make a return if P satisfies HMRC or 35 (on appeal) the First-tier Tribunal or Upper Tribunal that there is a reasonable excuse for the failure. For the purposes of sub-paragraph (1) an insufficiency of funds is not a reasonable excuse, unless attributable to events outside P's control. 40

Where, by failing to make the return, P deliberately withholds

(2)

where P had a reasonable excuse for the failure but the excuse (c) has ceased, P is to be treated as having continued to have the excuse 5 if the failure is remedied without unreasonable delay after the excuse ceased Paragraph 16 of Schedule 55 gives HMRC power to reduce penalties owing to the presence of "special circumstances" as follows: 16— 10 If HMRC think it right because of special circumstances, they (1) may reduce a penalty under any paragraph of this Schedule. In sub-paragraph (1) "special circumstances" does not include— (a) ability to pay, or 15 (b) the fact that a potential loss of revenue from one taxpayer is balanced by a potential over-payment by another. In sub-paragraph (1) the reference to reducing a penalty includes a reference to-(a) staying a penalty, and 20 (b) agreeing a compromise in relation to proceedings for a penalty. Paragraph 20 of Schedule 55 gives a taxpayer a right of appeal to the Tribunal and paragraph 22 of Schedule 55 sets out the scope of the Tribunal's jurisdiction on such an appeal. In particular, the Tribunal has only a limited jurisdiction on the question of "special circumstances" as set out below: 22— 25 On an appeal under paragraph 20(1) that is notified to the (1) tribunal, the tribunal may affirm or cancel HMRC's decision. On an appeal under paragraph 20(2) that is notified to the (2) tribunal, the tribunal may— 30 (a) affirm HMRC's decision, or (b) substitute for HMRC's decision another decision that HMRC had power to make. If the tribunal substitutes its decision for HMRC's, the tribunal may rely on paragraph 16—

(b) where P relies on any other person to do anything, that is not a reasonable excuse unless P took reasonable care to avoid the failure,

35

40

(b)

flawed.

to the same extent as HMRC (which may mean applying the

to a different extent, but only if the tribunal thinks that

same percentage reduction as HMRC to a different starting point),

HMRC's decision in respect of the application of paragraph 16 was

(4) In sub-paragraph (3)(b) "flawed" means flawed when considered in the light of the principles applicable in proceedings for judicial review.