653



FIRST - TIER TRIBUNAL PROPERTY CHAMBER (RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)

DECISION		
Date of determination	:	8 May 2015
Tribunal Members	:	Mr L Bennett (Tribunal Judge) Mr J Holbrook (Tribunal Judge)
Type of Application	:	Commonhold & Leasehold Reform Act 2002 Section 168(4)
Respondents	•	Richard Denis Wilcock and Elizabeth Wilcock
Applicant	:	Cheerupmate2 Ltd
Properties	:	36 Athol Street North, Burnley BB11 4BS
Case Reference	:	MAN/OOBT/LBC/2015/0009

© CROWN COPYRIGHT 2015

Summary decision

1. The Respondents have breached covenants in respect of repair and maintenance in the Lease relating to the Property.

Application

- 2. Cheerupmate2 Ltd applies for a determination under Section 168(4) of the Commonhold and Leasehold Reform Act 2002 that breaches of covenant have occurred in the Lease dated 4 July 1877 relating to the Property 36 Athol Street North, Burnley, Lancashire BB11 4BS.
- 3. The Respondents are the Leasehold owners of the Property registered with Leasehold Title LA630872.

Background

- 4. The Applicant is the successor to the Lessor's interest created by the Lease of the Property. The Respondents are the successors to the Lessee's interest.
- 5. The application was made on 23 March 2015.
- 6. Directions made 27 March 2015 by Judge Holbrook included "The Tribunal considers it appropriate for the matter to be determined by way of a paper determination." The directions gave opportunity for the parties to request a hearing. Neither party made such request.
- 7. The Applicant's submissions attached to the application and in response to directions include copies of the Lease, office copies, photographs of the Property and an explanation that in breach of the Lease there has been a failure to keep the dwelling in good and sufficient repair "This house is neglected and in serious disrepair and bowing out." The application was accompanied by copies of notices and correspondence sent to the Respondents with a specification of deficiencies to roof, floors, staircase, external walls, windows and doors and overall finish."
- 8. The Respondents have not communicated with the Tribunal nor provided a response to the application.
- 9. The Tribunal convened on 8 May 2015 without the parties to determine the application.

The Lease

10. The Schedule to the Lease dated 4 July 1877 contains the Lessee's covenant that he ".....during the term granted to maintain in good and sufficient repair and condition upon the land demised"

Law

- 11. Section 168(1) of the Commonhold and Leasehold Reform Act 2002 (the Act) states: "A landlord under a long Lease of a dwelling may not serve a notice under section 146(1) of the Law of Property Act 1925 (c 20) (restriction on forfeiture) in respect of a breach by a tenant of a covenant or condition in the Lease unless subsection (2) is satisfied."
- 12. Section 168(2)(a) states: "This subsection is satisfied if-
 - (a) it has been finally determined on an application under subsection (4) that the breach has occurred,
 - (b) the tenant has admitted the breach
- 13. Section 168(4)(a) states: "A landlord under a long Lease of a dwelling may make an application to the First-Tier Tribunal for a determination that a breach of a covenant or condition in the Lease has occurred."

Tribunal's conclusions with reasons

Our conclusions are:

- 14. We note that the covenant specified by the Applicant. We accept from examination of the Title that a dwellinghouse was constructed on the site of the Property which on erection would cause the engagement of the Lessee's covenant for repair.
- 15. It is clear from the photographic evidence that the Property is in a poor state of repair and likely to be in a state consistent with the specification of disrepair served by the Applicant.
- 16. We conclude that the Respondents have failed to observe the clearly expressed covenant in the Lease in respect of repair and maintenance.

Order

17. The Respondents have breached covenants for repair and maintenance within the Lease.