467



FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL PROPERTY CHAMBER (RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)

Case Reference		LON/00AF/OAF/2013/0024
Property	• •	45 Maberley Road London SE19 2JE
Applicant	9 6	Johnette Maureen Barrett-Waite
Representative	•	n/a
Respondent	9 9	Persons Unknown
Representative	5 6	n/a
Type of Application	9 9	Section 27 Leasehold Reform Act 1967
Tribunal Members		Mrs F J Silverman LLM Mrs S Redmond MRICS
Date and venue of Hearing	6 9	16 July 2013 (paper consideration) 10 Alfred Place, London WC1E 7LR
Date of Decision	6 6	16 July 2013

DECISION The price payable for the acquisition of the freehold of the property shall be £ 1,125.00

Introduction

1 This decision and summary reasons are issued to inform the parties of the Tribunal's decision in this case as quickly as possible. Either party may apply to the Tribunal for a full statement of reasons for any part of the decision. Any such request must be made to the Tribunal in writing within 21 days of receipt of this document, and the Tribunal will endeavour to issue the full reasons within 6 weeks of its receipt of the request.

Background

2 The Applicant tenant is the owner of the leasehold and the subleasehold interests in the property known as 45 Maberley Road London SE19 2JE (the property) which is held under a lease for 180 years commencing on 3 February 1938.

3 By order of Bromley County Court dated 4 December 2012 the Applicant was held to be a qualifying tenant for the purposes of making a claim for enfranchisement under the Leasehold Reform Act 1967. The Court further ordered that the matter be transferred to the Leasehold valuation Tribunal to determine the price to be paid by the Applicant for the freehold.

4 The matter was considered by a Tribunal on 16 July 2013 as a paper determination. The Applicant did not attend the hearing and the landlord's identity is unknown.

5 The Tribunal did not consider it necessary to inspect the property.

6 The Tribunal considered the bundle of papers put before it by the Applicant , including the valuation prepared by Mr P Gosden MRICS and , having done so determines that the price payable for the freehold reversionary interest in accordance with s9(1) Leasehold Reform Act 1967 shall be £ 1,125.00.

7 The following factors were taken into consideration by the Tribunal in reaching their decision on the price to be paid.

- 7.1 The Applicant's valuer presented two valuations, one based on a two stage approach, the other on a three stage approach. He contended for the two stage approach as he maintained that there was little likelihood of the property still being standing in 155 years' time.
- 7.2 The Tribunal disagreed with this approach and preferred to adopt the valuer's three stage valuation which includes the reversion.

- 7.3 Although the 'Tribunal considered that the valuer's figure of 5% for market rents was a little on the low side it decided not to interfere with this figure as any adjustment would have negligible impact on the net result of the calculation.
- 7.4 On the whole the Tribunal considered that the valuer's valuations were well argued , contained adequate relevant detail and included a wide range of suitable comparables.

8 The Law

8.1 Section 9(1) of the Leasehold Reform Act 1967 (The Act) provides that the price to be paid by the tenant on a conveyance under section 8 shall be the amount which at the valuation date the house and premises might be expected to realise if sold in the open market by a willing seller (with the tenant and members of his family who reside in the house not buying or seeking to buy) on the assumption that the tenant has no right to acquire the freehold under the Act, and, if the tenancy has not been extended under the Act, on the assumption that it will be so extended.

8.2 Section 9(1C) provides that the tenant's share of any value arising by virtue of the marriage of the freehold and leasehold interests shall not exceed 50%, and for the payment of additional compensation under section 9A.

8.3 Sections 9(1D) and 9(1E) apply where the tenant's notice under section 8 was served after 26 July 2002, and provide that the tenant shall receive one half of the marriage value, and that no marriage value is payable where the unexpired term of the lease exceeds eighty years at the relevant date.

8.4 The Tribunal also had regard to the decision in Earl Cadogan & Cadogan Estates Ltd v Sportelli & Sportelli (2006) LRA /50/2005

9 The Tribunal approves the draft Transfer as drawn.

10 A copy of the Applicant's valuation as adopted by the Tribunal is attached as Appendix 1.

 \mathcal{J}_{u} Judge F J Silverman as Chairman

Date 16 July 2013

3

3		ο.	å							
					Section 9/1	.) site value	basis - three	e stage valu	ation	
Ð		9	a		Calibra	waa Seda Sedalay oo	21E		7.00% 5.00%	an a
Ø		ġ.	÷	·	final defers Extended - Valuation c	ease value late	Ø5-Det	2012.83	4.75% £485,000	
					Property de 3 bed deta	escription ched house				
ø		ġ	ņ				03-Feb	1938.100		
	1.1		· .·	an a		years	rent (pa)	rev'n		
					term	180.4	£0.00	1971.100		
9		10	¢		unexpired i	term		2118.500	105.67	yrs
				:	NHAINERTIC	N IN VALUE	OFIAND	DRIDS INTER	EST	Constant and
					term		<u> </u>			
¢		ø			rent receiv	able	New AND ADD AND A	£0.00	23472335 A.M. 19934	
					YP	7.00%				
						105.67		14.274		
									£0	
e y %		Capitalised loss of income				£0	£0			
		Reversion				A TONY AND AND				
					50 year ext			- 1 N	and the second	7.7
		3 bed detached house				with provide and provide the	S.A. SHARE CARE			
¢; ¢, 63					£485,000					
		site value	33.00%		£160,050					
	-	mod gnd re		5.00%	£8,003					
					ΥР	5.00%				
Q		¢	¢i			50	18.25593			
					PV	5.00%				
						105.67	0.005767	0.1053		£842
										£842
G		G.	ġ		house valu	e		£4 8 5,000		
di seconda di		VP less 20% for assured			£388,000					
	2				PV £1	4.75%				
				1.000 million	years	155.67		0.00073		
ø		r \$	¥					£283		£283
					Totel payal	jle	Antonio en			£1,125
ų		ġ	¢		Vote(cityat	ore, see all s				

¢

ø

n

0

e .

¢

ę,

- ŵ

8

. G