

FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL PROPERTY CHAMBER (RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)

Case Reference

| Property | $:$ | $\mathbf{8 6}$ Marvels Lane, London SE12 9PG |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Applicant | $:$ | Alexander Wadham-Corn <br> (Development Co.) Limited |
| Representative | $:$ | Miss D Libova, Trainee Solicitor, <br> Crabtree Law LLP |
| Respondent | $:$ | Mr Paul Fillery |$\quad$| Representative | $:$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mrs P Lamb, Respondent's sister |  |


|  | Service charge and administration <br> charge determinations pursuant to |
| :--- | :--- |
| Type of Application $\quad: \quad$section 27 A Landlord and Tenant <br> Act 1985 and Schedule 11 |  |
| Commonhold and Leasehold |  |
| Reform Act 2002 |  |

> Tribunal Member : Judge P Korn

| Date and venue of <br> Hearing | $: \quad 10$ Alfred Place, London WC1E 7LR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date of Decision | $: \quad 10^{\text {th }}$ September 2013 |

DECISION

## Decision:

The Tribunal does not have jurisdiction to determine this application for the reasons stated below.

## Background:

1. The Applicant made a claim on $1^{\text {st }}$ March 2012 to Barnet County Court for arrears of service charge and legal costs allegedly payable by the Respondent.
2. The case was later transferred to Bromley County Court and then on $5^{\text {th }}$ August 2013 the case was transferred to the tribunal.
3. A pre-trial review took place at the tribunal on $10^{\text {th }}$ September 2013. At the pre-trial review, Miss Libova admitted on behalf of the Applicant that an error had been made and that the Applicant accepted that its claim was not valid.
4. Miss Libova stated that the Applicant felt that it did have a claim in respect of other sums, but she accepted that these had not been pleaded or referred to in the County Court application.

## Reasons for the decision:

5. The items which were originally the subject of the Applicant's claim are agreed by the Applicant not to be payable, and accordingly there is no dispute in respect of which the tribunal has jurisdiction to make a determination. Furthermore, as this is a County Court transfer, the tribunal does not have jurisdiction to agree amendments to the original claim.
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