

EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Ms K. Adams

Respondent: Ty Gofal Ltd .

HELD AT/BY: Wrexham by CVP

on: 21st - 22nd March 2022

BEFORE: Employment Judge T. Vincent Ryan Mrs J. Beard Ms A. Fine

REPRESENTATION:

Claimant: Ms Adams represented herself (a Litigant in Person) **Respondent:** Mr. N. Gouldson, Solicitor

JUDGMENT

The unanimous judgment of the Tribunal is:

- 1. <u>Wages</u>: By the respondent's concession, the respondent made unauthorised deductions for the claimant's wages and shall pay to the claimant 12 hours pay at the rate of £8.91 per hour subject to the usual statutory deductions.
- <u>Disability</u>: at all material times, from the claimant's 26th April 2021 job interview to her 3rd June 2021 formal post-termination grievance, the claimant was a disabled person.
- 3. Harassment related to the protected characteristic of disability:
 - 3.1 The respondent harassed the claimant in relation to the protected characteristic of disability at a meeting on 5th May 2021 when, during the course of his employment, a Deputy Manager said to the claimant "you will not get special treatment just because of your mental health".
 - 3.2 The parties agreed a settlement in respect of remedy for the above harassment claim; the remedy hearing is stayed for the terms to be effected by no later than 5th April 2022; if neither party applies for the remedy hearing to be restored before 12th May 2022 the claimant's claim for a remedy pursuant to the above liability judgment will be dismissed on deemed withdrawal.

3.3 The claimant's other claims of harassment fail and are dismissed.

4 Victimisation:

- 4.1 The claimant did a protected act when she wrote to the respondent on 8th May 2021 in reference to the said meeting of 5th May 2021, and when CAB sent a formal grievance letter to the respondent on her behalf on 3rd June 2021;
- 4.2 The respondent did not subject the claimant to any detriment because of her protected acts. The claimant's claim of victimisation fails and is dismissed.

Employment Judge T.V. Ryan

Date: 22.03.22

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON 23 March 2022

FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE Mr N Roche

Note

Reasons for the judgment having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing (and no such request was made) or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.