

EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

BETWEEN

ClaimantANDRespondentMrs N NorrisTJX UK Ltd

JUDGMENT OF THE EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNAL

HELD AT Birmingham **ON** 17, 18 and 19 January 2022

(This was a hybrid hearing dealt with remotely via CVP for all parties save for the claimant who was present in the tribunal office in Birmingham)

EMPLOYMENT JUDGE Dimbylow

Representation

For the claimant: In person

For the respondent: Mr J Boyd, Counsel

JUDGMENT

- 1. By consent, the claimant's claims for sex discrimination and disability discrimination contrary to the Equality Act 2010 are dismissed upon withdrawal by the claimant.
- 2. The claimant was unfairly dismissed by the respondent. The claimant caused or contributed to her dismissal to the extent of 75%. I order the respondent to pay compensation to the claimant as follows:
 - (i) Basic award: $1.5 \times 5 \times £544 = £4,080.00$, less 75% = £1,020.00.
 - (ii) Compensatory award: (a) three months (13 weeks) net salary and respondent's pension contribution amount to £980.71 per week x 13 = £12,749.23, less 75% = £3,187.30, and (b) loss of statutory protection £500.00, less 75% = £125.
 - (iii) The total of the monetary award is £4,332.30.
 - (iv) Recoupment of benefit applies to part of the award, as the claimant was in receipt of Universal Credit. The prescribed element of the award is £3,187.30, and the prescribed period is from the effective

- date of termination of the claimant's contract of employment on 15 July 2020 to the date of the remedy hearing on 19 January 2022.
- (v) The amount by which the monetary award exceeds the prescribed element is £1,145.00. There is an annex to this judgment concerning recoupment.
- 3. The claimant's claim for compensation for unlawful deduction from wages is not well-founded, fails and is dismissed.
- 4. The claimant's claim for damages for breach of contract over notice is well-founded. I find that the claimant caused or contributed to the breach by her own conduct to the extent of 75% and any award made separately under this heading would have been reduced by that amount. However, I make no separate award for damages as this would have duplicated the award for compensation for unfair dismissal.

Signed by	on 19 Jan. 2022
Émployment Judge Dimbylow	

<u>Note:</u> Reasons for the judgement having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing (and no such request was made) or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.

Decision sent to parties on 20/01/2022