Case nos 1403300.2019 & 1403303.2019 (Code V)



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant	Ms J Angel (1)
	Miss M Doe (2)

Respondent Unison Southampton District Branch

Heard at: Exeter (by CVP)

On: 25 January 2021

Before: Employment Judge Goraj

Representation The Claimants: in person The Respondent: Mr Ross, counsel

JUDGMENT

The JUDGMENT of the tribunal is that: -

- 1. The claimants' claims for unfair dismissal, notice, holiday pay and redundancy (the first claimant only) are dismissed upon withdrawal by the claimants.
- 2. The claimants having settled their breach of contract claims for breach of the settlement agreements, the claimants' application for interest in respect of such breach of contract is dismissed.
- 3. The claimants' applications for costs is also refused.

Employment Judge Goraj Date: 3 February 2021

Judgment sent to the parties: 11 February 2021

FOR THE OFFICE OF THE TRIBUNALS

Case nos 1403300.2019 & 1403303.2019 (Code V)

As reasons for the Judgment were announced orally at the Hearing written reasons shall not be provided unless they are requested by a party within 14 days of the sending of this Judgment to the parties.

Online publication of judgments and reasons

The Employment Tribunal (ET) is required to maintain a register of all judgments and written reasons. The register must be accessible to the public. It has recently been moved online. All judgments and reasons since February 2017 are now available at: <u>https://www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions</u>

The ET has no power to refuse to place a judgment or reasons on the online register, or to remove a judgment or reasons from the register once they have been placed there. If you consider that these documents should be anonymised in anyway prior to publication, you will need to apply to the ET for an order to that effect under Rule 50 of the ET's Rules of Procedure. Such an application would need to be copied to all other parties for comment and it would be carefully scrutinised by a judge (where appropriate, with panel members) before deciding whether (and to what extent) anonymity should be granted to a party or a witness