

EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

BETWEEN

Claimant Mr C Bruce **AND**

Respondent
Commissioners for HM Revenue
& Customs

JUDGMENT OF THE EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNAL

(hearing type code "V")

HELD AT Birmingham (remotely, via CVP)

ON 22 & 23 February, and 17 March 2021 - 9 March 2021 (Judge only, in chambers)

BEFORE EMPLOYMENT JUDGE Dimbylow

Representation

For the claimant: In person

For the respondent: Mr S Lewis, Counsel

This hearing took place against the background of the coronavirus pandemic; and was conducted remotely by video platform in accordance with safe practice and guidelines.

JUDGMENT ON AN OPEN PRELIMINARY HEARING

- 1. The claimant has established that at the relevant time (which I declare is from 3 December 2015 until the date of issue of the claim form on 1 November 2019) he was disabled within the meaning of the Equality Act 2010 (EQA) by reason of the mental impairment of anxiety and depression.
- 2. The following claims for harassment related to disability were presented out of time (adopting Judge Miller's method of identification as set out in his case management order dated 8 April 2020): (5) (x) a, b, c, d, f and g, but they form part of a continuing act or omission to bring them in time.

- 3. The claimant's application to amend his claim to include a further claim of harassment related to disability identified at: (5) (x) e, was presented out of time, is refused and dismissed.
- 4. The following claims for victimisation identified at: (5) (xv) a, b, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, o, p, q, and r were presented out of time, but they form part of a continuing act or omission to bring them in time.
- 5. I order that the claimant's claim for victimisation identified as (5) (xv) s, is struck out as having no reasonable prospect of success under Rule 37.
- 6. The claimant's application to amend his claim to include further claims of victimisation identified at: (5) (xv) c, t, u, and v, was presented out of time, is refused and dismissed.
- 7. The claimant's application to amend his claim to include a claim identified at 5 (v) to (ix) pursuant to section 15 of the EQA is refused and dismissed.
- 8. I make no order that the claimant's 23 claims for harassment and victimisation which are allowed to proceed are struck out under Rule 37.
- 9. I find and declare that the claimant's 23 claims for harassment and victimisation which are allowed to proceed have little reasonable prospects of success and I order the claimant to pay a deposit of £50 in respect of each claim, making a total of £1,150.00. I have made a separate order dealing with the deposit issue.

Signed by Employment Judge Dimbylow 18/03/2021

<u>Note:</u> Reasons for the judgement having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing (and no such request was made) or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.

Decision	sent to	Parties on