

EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS (SCOTLAND)

Case No: 4102387/2012 Held in Glasgow

Employment Judge Frances Eccles

Mrs J Armstrong Claimant

The City of Edinburgh Council Respondent

JUDGMENT

The claim is struck out under rule 37 of the Rules contained in Schedule 1 of the Employment Tribunals (Constitution and Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013 on the grounds that the claim has not been actively pursued in terms of rule 37(1)(d)

REASONS

- 1. The claimant's solicitors having withdrawn from acting on her behalf, a strike out warning letter was sent to her on 15 September 2020 on the direction of an Employment Judge, following the claimant's failure to reply to the Tribunal's status enquiry letter asking for confirmation of her intention with regard to this claim.
- 2. That correspondence has been returned to the Tribunal Office indicating that the claimant no longer resides at the address provided on the ET1.
- 3. No steps have been taken by the claimant to advise the Tribunal of her new address. In the absence of this information the tribunal cannot communicate with the claimant. The Tribunal have been unable to give the claimant notice under rule 37(2) of the Employment Tribunals (Constitution and Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013.
- 4. The Tribunal assumes in these circumstances that she no longer wishes to pursue her claim, which is struck out under rule 37(1)(d) of the Employment Tribunals (Constitution and Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013.

Frances Eccles Employment Judge
09 November 2020
Date of Judgment
09 November 2020

EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS (SCOTLAND)

Case No: 4102387/2012 Held in Glasgow

Employment Judge Frances Eccles

Mrs J Armstrong City Chambers High Street Edinburgh EH1 1YJ Claimant

The City of Edinburgh Council Waverly Court Business Centre 4 East Market Street Edinburgh EH8 8BG Respondent

JUDGMENT

The claim is struck out under rule 37 of the Rules contained in Schedule 1 of the Employment Tribunals (Constitution and Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013 on the grounds that the claim has not been actively pursued in terms of rule 37(1)(d)

REASONS

- 1. The claimant's solicitors having withdrawn from acting on her behalf, a strike out warning letter was sent to her on 15 September 2020 on the direction of an Employment Judge, following the claimant's failure to reply to the Tribunal's status enquiry letter asking for confirmation of her intention with regard to this claim.
- 2. That correspondence has been returned to the Tribunal Office indicating that the claimant no longer resides at the address provided on the ET1.
- 3. No steps have been taken by the claimant to advise the Tribunal of her new address. In the absence of this information the tribunal cannot communicate with the claimant. The Tribunal have been unable to give the claimant notice under rule 37(2) of the Employment Tribunals (Constitution and Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013.
- 4. The Tribunal assumes in these circumstances that she no longer wishes to pursue her claim, which is struck out under rule 37(1)(d) of the Employment Tribunals (Constitution and Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013.

Employment Judge
Date of Judgment