## EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

## Claimant: Miss E Ahmed

Respondents: 1 Arcare Boscobel Ltd
2 Moira Ellison
3 Derek Ellison
HELD AT:
Liverpool
ON:
1 April 2019
BEFORE: Employment Judge Tom Ryan

## REPRESENTATION:

Claimant: In person
$1^{\text {st }}$ Respondent: Ms S Aly, Counsel
$2^{\text {nd }} \& 3^{\text {rd }}$ Respondents: $\quad$ Mr M Cranshaw, Solicitor

## JUDGMENT ON PRELIMINARY HEARING

The judgment of the Tribunal is that:

1. Except for the allegation that the claimant made a protected disclosure to the $2^{\text {nd }}$ respondent on 29 June 2017 concerning the administration of the funds of AR, the allegations of protected disclosures made by the claimant in these proceedings are struck out on the grounds that they have no reasonable prospect of success.
2. The allegation that the claimant was subjected to any detriment because she had made a protected disclosure is struck out on the grounds that it has no reasonable prospect of success.
3. The application by the $1^{\text {st }}$ respondent that the complaints of unfair dismissal and unfair dismissal by reason of having made protected disclosure be struck out is refused.
4. The application by the $1^{\text {st }}$ respondent that the claimant be ordered to pay a deposit as a condition of continuing with the complaints of unfair dismissal referred to above is granted and an order in respect of that application is set out separately.

Employment Judge<br>Date $\quad 1$ April 2019<br>JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON<br>5 April 2019<br>FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE

## Note

Reasons for the judgment having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.

## Public access to Employment Tribunal decisions

Judgments and reasons for the judgments are published, in full, online at www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions shortly after a copy has been sent to the claimant(s) and respondent(s) in a case.

