Case No 1403341/2018, 1403342/2018, 1403343/2018, 1403343/2018, 1403344/2018, 1403345/2018, 1403346/2018, 1403347/2018, 1403350/2018, 1403349/2018 & 1403350/2018



## **EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS**

**Claimant** Mr R Hinchliffe

Mr P Browning Mr S Newton Ms S Andrew Mr G Plumbley Mr J Wood Mr G Davies Ms A Hart Mr N Whitaker Mr B Clark

**Respondents** Wheatons Exeter Limited (in voluntary liquidation) (1)

Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial

Strategy (2)

Heard at: Exeter On: 4 April 2019

Before:

Employment Judge Goraj

Representation

Claimants: Mr R Hinchcliffe (accompanied by Messrs Clark and Whitaker)

The First Respondent: no response and did not attend.

The Second Respondent written submissions and did not attend.

## **JUDGMENT**

## The JUDGMENT of the tribunal is that: -

The Claimant's claims for a protective award are dismissed. Although the Tribunal is satisfied that it was not reasonably practicable for the claims to have been presented within the relevant three-month period for the purposes of Section 189 (5) of the Trade Union & Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act

Case No 1403341/2018, 1403342/2018, 1403343/2018, 1403343/2018, 1403344/2018, 1403345/2018, 1403346/2018, 1403347/2018, 1403350/2018, 1403349/2018 & 1403350/2018

1992, the Tribunal is not however satisfied that they were presented within a further reasonable period thereafter.

\_\_\_\_

Employment Judge Goraj Date: 12 April 2019

As reasons for the Judgment were announced orally at the Hearing written reasons shall not be provided unless they are requested by a party within 14 days of the sending of this Judgment to the parties.

## Online publication of judgments and reasons

The Employment Tribunal (ET) is required to maintain a register of all judgments and written reasons. The register must be accessible to the public. It has recently been moved online. All judgments and reasons since February 2017 are now available at: <a href="https://www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions">https://www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions</a>
The ET has no power to refuse to place a judgment or reasons on the online register, or to remove a judgment or reasons from the register once they have been placed there. If you consider that these documents should be anonymised in anyway prior to publication, you will need to apply to the ET for an order to that effect under Rule 50 of the ET's Rules of Procedure. Such an application would need to be copied to all other parties for comment and it would be carefully scrutinised by a judge (where appropriate, with panel members) before deciding whether (and to what extent) anonymity should be granted to a party or a witness