



## EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Between

Mr J Hughes

Claimant

and

El Patron Londres

Respondent

### JUDGMENT OF THE EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNAL in accordance with rule 21 of the Employment Tribunal Rules of Procedure 2013

No response having been presented in form ET3 to the office of the Employment Tribunal by the Respondent within the time limit prescribed by rule 16 of the Employment Tribunal Rules of Procedure 2013 it is **adjudged** as follows:

The Tribunal declares in accordance with section 24 of the Employment Rights Act 1996 that the complaint by the Claimant under section 23 of such Act that there has been an unlawful deduction from the wages properly payable is well founded and the Tribunal **orders** the Respondent to pay to the Claimant the sum of £800;

The Tribunal declares in accordance with regulation 30 of The Working Time Regulations 1998 that the complaint of the Claimant that the Respondent has failed to pay holiday pay due to the Claimant on the termination of the employment under regulation 14(2) of such Regulations is well founded and **orders** the Respondent to pay to the Claimant the sum of £150.

The hearing listed for 8 February 2017 is vacated.

Employment Judge Baron  
10 January 2017