IN THE UPPER TRIBUNAL IMMIGRATION AND ASYLUM CHAMBER

## THE IMMIGRATION ACTS

## Decision \& Reasons Issued:

On 14 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ of March 2024

## Before <br> UPPER TRIBUNAL JUDGE HANSON <br> Between <br> NOUHAILA ZIANI <br> (NO ANONYMITY ORDER MADE)

Appellant

and

## SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE HOME DEPARTMENT

## Representation:

For the Appellant:
Mr C Holmes instructed by Crater Law Solicitors Ltd.
For the Respondent: Mr Diwnycz, a Senior Home Office Presenting Officer.

Heard at Phoenix House (Bradford) on 8 March 2024

## DECISION AND REASONS

1. In a determination promulgated following a hearing at Bradford on the 8 January 2024 the Upper Tribunal found a material error of law in the decision of a judge of the First-tier Tribunal, set that decision aside with preserved findings, and gave directions for the future conduct of the appeal. The matter comes back before me today to enable the Upper Tribunal to substitute a decision to either allow or dismiss the appeal.
2. The appellant was pregnant and due to give birth in January 2024 on the last occasion. Mr Holmes was able to confirm that she in fact gave birth to a daughter on 11 February 2024 who is a British citizen. A copy of the birth certificate has been provided.
3. Mr Diwnycz accepted that the child is a qualifying child and that in light of the facts of the case it will not be reasonable to expect the child to leave the UK.
4. On that basis I allowed the appeal pursuant to section 117B(6) Nationality, Immigration and Asylum Act 2002, which sets out the Secretary of State's own position in relation to an individual who is not subject to a deportation order and
when it is accepted that interference with a protected family right will be disproportionate.

## Notice of Decision

5. I substitute a decision to allow the appeal.

## C J Hanson

Judge of the Upper Tribunal Immigration and Asylum Chamber

