

Upper Tribunal (Immigration and Asylum Chamber)

Appeal Numbers: HU/01169/2020 HU/20385/2019

THE IMMIGRATION ACTS

Heard at Field House On 23 August 2021 (remote hearing) Decision & Reasons Promulgated On 27 September 2021

Before

UPPER TRIBUNAL JUDGE SHERIDAN

Between

ANISHA THAMSUHANG ALISHA THAMSUHANG

Appellants

and

ENTRY CLEARANCE OFFICER

Respondent

Representation

For the Appellants:Mr Jesurum, Counsel instructed by Everest Law SolicitorsFor the Respondent:Mr Avery, Senior Home Office Presenting Officer

This has been a remote hearing to which both parties have consented. The form of remote hearing was video by Microsoft Teams (V). A face to face hearing was not held because it was not practicable and all issues could be determined in a remote hearing. I did not experience any difficulties, and neither party expressed any concern, with the process.

CONSENT ORDER

Background

- 1. The respondent conceded that the decision of the First tier Tribunal (by Judge of the First-tier Tribunal Burnett promulgated on 18 March 2021) involved the making of an error of law and should be set aside.
- 2. The parties were in agreement that the appeal should be remitted to the Firsttier Tribunal to be heard afresh with no findings preserved by a different judge and that it could be disposed of by consent order.

Decision

- 3. Pursuant to Rule 39 of the Tribunal Procedure (Upper Tribunal) Rules 2008 and by the consent of the parties I make the following order:
- 4. The decision of the First-tier Tribunal is set aside and the appeal is remitted to the First-tier Tribunal to be made afresh by a different judge with no findings preserved.

Signed

D. Sherídan

Upper Tribunal Judge Sheridan

Dated: 23 August 2021