

Upper Tribunal (Immigration and Asylum Chamber)

Appeal Numbers: HU/03183/2019 HU/03180/2019 HU/03178/2019 HU/03190/2019

THE IMMIGRATION ACTS

Heard at Field House On 4 December 2019 Decision & Reasons Promulgated On 7 January 2020

Before

UPPER TRIBUNAL JUDGE ALLEN

Between

THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE HOME DEPARTMENT

<u>Appellant</u>

and

JAYAN [G] AJI [J] [Ai J] [Aa J] (ANONYMITY DIRECTION NOT MADE)

Respondents

Representation:

For the Appellant: Mr T Melvin, Senior Home Office Presenting Officer For the Respondents: Mr P Richardson, instructed by Ideal Solicitors

DECISION AND REASONS

1. This appeal comes before the Upper Tribunal following an error of law hearing at which I concluded that there were errors of law in the decision of the First-tier Tribunal which allowed the appellants' appeal against the Secretary of State's decision of 4 February 2019.

© CROWN COPYRIGHT 2020

- At the hearing on 4 December Mr Richardson raised an issue concerning the applicability of the decision of the Court of Appeal in <u>Khan</u> [2018] EWCA Civ 1684.
- 3. The matter was adjourned to 12 February 2020 to enable the Secretary of State to consider her position in light of the submissions made by Mr Richardson with regard to <u>Khan</u> and in particular the consequence of the finding of the judge that a plausible explanation concerning the ETS certificate provided by the appellant had been provided.
- 4. I subsequently received a letter dated 10 December 2019 from Mr Melvin making it clear that it had been decided to invite the Tribunal to promulgate a short decision allowing the appellants' appeals in line with <u>Khan</u>.
- 5. I consider that this is the most helpful and speedy response and I am grateful to Mr Melvin and to those whom he consulted for addressing the matter so swiftly and helpfully.
- 6. There is no reason to suppose that the appellants would have any objection to this course of action, and accordingly, in line with the suggestion in the Home Office's letter of 10 December 2019, these appeals are all allowed in line with the decision in <u>Khan</u>. As a consequence, the Article 8 appeals of all the parties are successful.

Notice of Decision

These appeals are allowed under Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights.

No anonymity direction is made.

- Mm

Signed

Date 13 December 2019

Upper Tribunal Judge Allen