

Upper Tribunal (Immigration and Asylum Chamber)

# THE IMMIGRATION ACTS

Heard at City Centre Tower, Decision & Reasons Promulgated Birmingham
On 15<sup>th</sup> December 2017
On 6<sup>th</sup> February 2018

### **Before**

# **DEPUTY UPPER TRIBUNAL JUDGE RENTON**

#### Between

ALI [G]
(ANONYMITY DIRECTION NOT MADE)

**Appellant** 

Appeal Number: AA/00096/2016

and

### THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE HOME DEPARTMENT

**Respondent** 

# **Representation:**

For the Appellant: Mr S Woodhouse of SH & Co Solicitors For the Respondent: Mrs H Aboni, Home Office Presenting Officer

### **DECISION AND REASONS**

### Introduction

1. The Appellant is a male citizen of Syria born on 1<sup>st</sup> January 1986. He entered the UK illegally on 3<sup>rd</sup> October 2014 and applied for asylum on 23<sup>rd</sup> December 2014. That application was refused for the reasons given in the Respondent's Asylum Decision dated 12<sup>th</sup> January 2016. The Appellant appealed, and his appeal was heard by Judge of the First-tier Tribunal Juss (the Judge) sitting at Birmingham on 25<sup>th</sup> August 2016. He decided to allow the appeal for the reasons given in his Decision dated 4<sup>th</sup> October

Appeal Number: AA/00096/2016

2016. The Respondent sought to leave to appeal that decision, and on  $7^{\text{th}}$  September 2017 such permission was granted.

### **Error of Law**

- 2. I must first decide if the decision of the Judge contained an error on a point of law so that it should be set aside.
- 3. The Appellant sought asylum on the basis that he was at risk on return to Syria as an ethnic Turkman. The only issue before the Judge was whether the Appellant was of Turkish or Syrian nationality. The Judge allowed the appeal because he found the Appellant to be credible and believed his account that he was an ethnic Turkman from a village on the Syrian/Turkish border but nevertheless was a Syrian national.
- 4. At the hearing before me, Mrs Aboni helpfully informed me that it was no longer disputed that the Appellant was a Syrian citizen and that it was the policy of the Home Office that it was too dangerous and therefore not possible to return any Syrian citizens to Syria. Any error of law by the Judge was therefore not material.
- 5. I indicated to Mr Woodhouse that there was no need for me to hear from him. On the basis that the Appellant's citizenship was no longer disputed and that it was the policy of the Home Office not to return any Syrian citizens to Syria at the present time, I accept Mrs Aboni's suggestion and find that there was no material error of law in the decision of the Judge.

## **Notice of Decision**

The making of the decision of the First-tier Tribunal did not involve the making of a material error on a point of law.

I do not set aside that decision.

The appeal to the Upper Tribunal is dismissed.

# **Anonymity**

The First-tier Tribunal did not make an order for anonymity. I was not asked to do so, and indeed find no reason to do so.

Signed

Date 2<sup>nd</sup> February 2018

Deputy Upper Tribunal Judge Renton