

Upper Tribunal (Immigration and Asylum Chamber)

Appeal Number: VA/05313/2013

THE IMMIGRATION ACTS

Heard at Field House

On 6 February 2014

Determination Promulgated On 6 February 2014

.....

Before

UPPER TRIBUNAL JUDGE RINTOUL

Between

NIRANJALA SAROJO WIJEYESEKERA BULATHSINHALA ARACHCHIGE

<u>Appellant</u>

and

ENTRY CLEARANCE OFFICER, CHENNAI

<u>Respondent</u>

Representation:

For the Appellant: Ms G Peterson, Counsel instructed by VMD Solicitors For the Respondent: Mr P Duffy, Presenting Officer

DETERMINATION AND REASONS

- 1. This appeal comes before me following the grant of permission to appeal by First Tribunal Judge Landes on 5 December 2013.
- 2. Initially, this appeal was linked with that of the appellant's son, VA/03918/2013, but that appeal was withdrawn by the respondent when it came before the First-tier Tribunal.

- 3. Having taken instructions, Mr Duffy sought to withdraw the decision of the respondent. Ms Peterson said that, in the circumstances, she had no objection to that course of events.
- 4. The Upper Tribunal Procedure Rules 2008 allow for the withdrawal of the respondent's 'case' rather than his decision (Rule 17(1) (b)). As there was no objection from the appellant or her representative, I consented to the respondent's withdrawal (Rule 17(2) applies) and given Ms Petersen's consent, I am satisfied that the appellant's case has been withdrawn, to which I also consent.

Decision

The appeal has been withdrawn. That disposes of proceedings before the Upper Tribunal

Signed

Date

Upper Tribunal Judge Rintoul