

Upper Tribunal (Immigration and Asylum Chamber) Appeal Number: IA/19988/2013

Appeal Number: IA/19988/2013 IA/19995/2013 IA/20013/2013

THE IMMIGRATION ACTS

Heard at Field House

On 5 June 2014

Determination Promulgated On 06 June 2014

Before

UPPER TRIBUNAL JUDGE ESHUN

Between

MISS FREDIELYN BAYOT ONIA MR SANTINO MATTHEW ONIA SALAZAR MR CHRISTOPHER KHAELL ONIA VILLAROMA

<u>Appellants</u>

and

THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE HOME DEPARTMENT

Respondent

Representation:

For the Appellant: Not legally represented For the Respondent: Mr T Wilding, HOPO

DETERMINATION AND REASONS

- 1. The appellants have been granted permission to appeal the determination of First-tier Tribunal Judge Cameron in which he dismissed the appeals of the appellants against the decision of the respondent made on 17 May 2013 to refuse their applications for leave to remain on the basis of family and private life in the UK.
- 2. The first appellant attended the hearing with her mother and the third appellant.
- 2. The appellants are citizens of the Philippines. The first appellant is the mother of the second and third appellants. The appellants came to the UK on 23 December 2012 with valid leave as visitors until 30 April 2013. They applied for leave to remain on 22 March 2013.
- 3. The judge's findings are set out at paragraphs 32 to 55.
- 4. The appellants were granted permission to appeal by First-tier Tribunal Judge Ford who said it is arguable that in not considering and making findings on the best interests of the 2nd and 3 appellants, Judge Cameron may have made a material error of law.
- 5. I find that the judge did not make an error of law. The appellants were unrepresented at the hearing before the judge. The judge heard oral evidence from the first appellant. She adopted her statement dated 25 March 2014 subject to an amendment at paragraph 5 and also adopted her statement dated 15 March 2012. I accepted Mr. Wildings' submission that the judge encapsulated the evidence, weighed it up and made his decision. The decision included a finding at paragraph 52 that the best interests of the children are to remain with their mother and to grow up in their own culture. The judge's finding was perfectly sustainable on the evidence that was before him.
- 6. I find that permission to appeal should not have been granted in this case.
- 7. The judge's decision dismissing the appeals of the appellants shall stand.

Date