

DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICE

D00020456

Decision of Independent Expert(Summary Decision)

VACALIANS GROUP

and

Eric Perry

1. The Parties:

Complainant: VACALIANS GROUP VACALIANS GROUP 8, rue François Villon Paris Île de France 75015 France

Respondent: Eric Perry PO Box 701 San Mateo California 94401 United States

2. The Domain Name:

evadea.co.uk evadeo.co.uk

3. Notification of Complaint

| | I hereby certify that I am satisfied that Nominet has sent the complaint to the Respondent in accordance with section 3 and 6 of the Policy. |
|----------------------------|---|
| 4. | Yes No Rights |
| ₹. | Rights |
| | The Complainant has, to my reasonable satisfaction, shown rights in respect of a name or mark which is identical or similar to the domain name. |
| | Yes No |
| 5. | Abusive Registration |
| | The Complainant has, to my reasonable satisfaction, shown that the domain names evadea.co.uk and evadeo.co.uk is an abusive registration |
| | Yes No |
| 6. | Other Factors |
| | I am satisfied that no other factors apply which would make a summary decision unconscionable in all the circumstances |
| | Yes No |
| 7. | Comments (optional) |
| prol | der Nominet's DRS it is for the Complainant to show, on the balance of pabilities, that it has Rights in respect of a name or mark which is identical or illar to the Domain Name(s). |
| | e, the Complainant has failed to satisfy me that it has Rights in a name or mark ch is identical to the Domain Name(s). |
| mar Nan evic beer | main evidence of Rights that the Complainant relies on are a number of trade k applications that were filed by the Complainant very shortly before the Domain nes were applied for. In my view the existence of such applications, without any lence of use, is not enough to constitute Rights. The position is likely to have a different if these applications had been granted trade marks when the Complaint made. |
| regi | ilarly the Complaint lists a number of domain names that the Complainant has stered but without any evidence of use of these domain names. Again, in my view mere ownership of domain names is not by itself enough to show Rights. |

8. Decision

| I refuse the Complainant's application for | r a summary decision. The domain nam |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| registration will therefore remain with the | e Respondent. |
| Signed: | Dated: |