

DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICE

D00018711

Decision of Independent Expert (Summary Decision)

Hythe Prescriptions Ltd t/a The Hythe Pharmacy

Complainant

and

Inspire SEO Limited (a dissolved company)

Respondent

1 The Parties

Complainant:	Hythe Prescriptions Limited t/a The Hythe Pharmacy
Address:	2 Hythe Quay Colchester Essex
Postcode:	CO2 8JB
Country:	United Kingdom

Respondent:	Inspire SEO Limited (a dissolved company)
Address:	9 Follis Walk
	Coventry
Postcode:	CV4 8HP
Country:	United Kingdom

1

2 Domain Name

thehythepharmacy.co.uk (the "Domain Name")

10-10343006-1\328994-63

3 **Notification of Complaint** I am satisfied that Nominet has sent the Complaint to the Respondent in accordance with paragraphs 3 and 6 of the Policy. No Yes **Rights** 4 The Complainant has, to my reasonable satisfaction, shown Rights in respect of a name or mark which is identical or similar to the Domain Name. Yes No 5 **Abusive Registration** The Complainant has, to my reasonable satisfaction, shown that the Domain Name is an Abusive Registration No Yes 6 Other Factors I am satisfied that no other factors apply which would make a summary decision unconscionable in all the circumstances No 7 Comments (optional) This Complaint has been brought against a company which was dissolved on 3 November 2015. Accordingly, the Domain Name is currently suspended. Pursuant to a direction under paragraph 17.1 of the Policy, the Complainant has confirmed and evidenced that, for the purposes of paragraph 5.1.5 of the Policy: (a) until the Domain Name became inaccessible by reason of the Respondent's dissolution, the Complainant had been using the Domain Name registration exclusively; and (b) it paid for the registration of the Domain Name. Accordingly, the Complainant has, pursuant to paragraph 12.5.2 of the Policy, shown that it has

8 Decision

In accordance with paragraph 12 of the Policy, I therefore grant the Complainant's application for a summary decision. The Domain Name will therefore be transferred to the Complainant.

Rights (as defined) in respect of a name or mark identical or similar to the Domain Name, and that the Domain Name is, in the hands of the Respondent, an Abusive Registration (as defined).

David Engel

Signed: Dated: 2 June 2017