

# **DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICE**

### D00018520

# Decision of Independent Expert (Summary Decision)

## Aldi GmbH

and

# Dima Alexandru

### 1. The Parties

Lead Complainant: Aldi GmbH c/o Freeths LLP 3rd Floor The Colmore Building Colmore Circus Queensway Birmingham B4 6AT United Kingdom

Complainant: Aldi Stores Limited c/o Freeths LLP 3rd Floor, The Colmore Building Colmore Circus Queensway Birmingham West Midlands B4 6AT United Kingdom

Respondent: Dima Alexandru 17 Landowne Grove London NW10 1PL United Kingdom

#### 2. The Domain Name

<aldielectrical.co.uk>

# 3. Notification of Complaint

I hereby certify that I am satisfied that Nominet has sent the Complaint to the Respondent in accordance with sections 3 and 6 of the Policy.

X Yes ☐ No

# 4. Rights

The Complainant has, to my reasonable satisfaction, shown Rights in respect of a name or mark which is identical or similar to the Domain Name.

X Yes ☐ No

# 5. Abusive Registration

The Complainant has, to my reasonable satisfaction, shown that the Domain Name <aldielectrical.co.uk> is an Abusive Registration.

X Yes ☐ No

#### 6. Other Factors

I am satisfied that no other factors apply which would make a summary decision unconscionable in all the circumstances.

X Yes ☐ No

#### 7. Comments

An online check performed in accordance with paragraph 18.1 of the Policy revealed to the Expert that the Respondent was a director of a company incorporated on 16 May 2016 called AL & DI Electrical Services Ltd, company number 10182735. It was originally incorporated as Aldi Electrical Services Ltd and changed its name on 11 July 2016.

The Expert noted that the first two letters of the Respondent's first and last names were AL DI. The Domain Name was registered two weeks

after the company's incorporation and matched the first two words of the company's initial name.

In accordance with paragraph 18.2 of the Policy, the Expert informed the Parties on 7 April 2017 that she held such relevant information and invited them to make submissions accordingly. The Complainant explained that the Respondent had changed his company name at the Complainant's request. The Respondent did not reply.

Given the Respondent's lack of response, both to the Complaint and to the Expert's request, and thus his failure to submit any kind of justification for his ownership of the Domain Name, the Expert finds, on the balance of probabilities, that the Domain Name is an Abusive Registration under paragraph 5.1.2 of the Policy.

### 8. Decision

I grant the Complainant's application for a summary decision. In accordance with section 12 of the Policy, the Domain Name will therefore be transferred to the Complainant.

Signed Jane Seager Date: 5 May 2017