

DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICE

D00013865

Decision of Independent Expert (Summary Decision)

Rock Information Technology Services Limited

and

Deron Quon

1. The Parties:

Lead Complainant: Rock Information Technology Services Limited

Unit 5b, The Enterprise Centre

Cranborne Road Potters Bar Hertfordshire EN6 3DQ United Kingdom

Complainant: Rock Internet Limited

Unit 5b, The Enterprise Centre,

Cranborne Road Potters Bar Hertfordshire EN6 3DQ United Kingdom

Respondent: Deron Quon

1670 Malcolm Ave, #303

Los Angeles California 90024 United States

2. The Domain Name(s):

rock.co.uk

3.	Notification of Complaint			
	I hereby certify that I am satisfied that Nominet has sent the complaint to the respondent in accordance with paragraphs 2 and 4 of the Procedure. ☑ Yes ☐ No			
4.	Rights			
5.	The complainant has, to my reaname or mark which is identical Abusive Registration The complainant has, to my rearock.co.uk is an Abusive Regist	l or similar t	o the Domain name.	☐ Yes ☑ No
6.	Other Factors			
	I am satisfied that no other factors apply which would make a summary decision unconscionable in all the circumstances ☑ Yes ☐ No			
7.	Comments			
Nominet's Dispute Resolution Service (DRS) is a simple administrative procedure designed to resolve domain name disputes. According to Paragraph 2.a. of the DRS Policy a Complainant must show, firstly, that it has Rights in respect of a name or mark which is identical or similar to the Domain Name in question; and, secondly, that the Domain Name, in the hands of the Respondent, is an Abusive Registration. Nominet's website and the Policy both have a wealth of detail about what is needed to demonstrate both these elements.				
Complathe Conhands is names	mplaint is brief and offers little brinant has not given enough evice applainant has not set out to my set suit so make a set out to my set out to my set out to my set out in the suke and failure to do so is not in itset on in seeing a potentially useful	dence to she satisfaction s space are If Abusive.	ow it has rights in the why the domain name under no obligation to While I can understan	term ROCK. Equally, e in the Respondent's o use their domain of the Complainant's
8.	Decision			
	Transfer Cancellation Other (please state)		No action Suspension	回

Signed: Tim Brown

Dated: 27 March 2014