

# DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICE D00010912

# Decision of Independent Expert (Summary Decision)

**Information Tools Limited** 

and

Mr William D Laserna-Balen

#### 1. The Parties:

Lead Complainant: Information Tools Limited 51 Hurstmere Road Takapuna Auckland Auckland 0622 New Zealand

Respondent: Mr William D Laserna-Balen 38 John Morgan Close Hook London SW20 9NQ United Kingdom

#### 2. The Domain Name(s):

infotools.co.uk

#### 3. Notification of Complaint

4.

5.

6.

I hereby certify that I am satisfied that Nominet has so to the respondent in accordance with paragraphs 2 an Procedure.	•
Rights	
The complainant has, to my reasonable satisfaction, sl respect of a name or mark which is identical or similar name.	•
	☑ Yes □ No
Abusive Registration	
The complainant has, to my reasonable satisfaction, sl domain name infotools.co.uk is an Abusive Registratio	
_	☑ Yes ☐ No
Other Factors	
I am satisfied that no other factors apply which would summary decision unconscionable in all the circumstar	

## 7. Comments (optional)

The Expert notes that the finding of Abusive Registration in the present case was made exclusively on the basis of the Complainant's demonstration of circumstances consistent with paragraph 3(a)(i)(A) of the Policy.

No finding of Abusive Registration was made on the basis of the Complainant's additional submission that the Domain Name must be an Abusive Registration because the Respondent had breached the terms of an agreement to sell it to the Complainant by failing to confirm the transfer despite being paid for it. Reference is made to the terms of the Appeal Panel Decision in David Munro v. Celtic.com, Inc. (DRS 04632). See, for example, at page 13 thereof: "Unlike a court, the Panel has no power to give any effective supervision to the enforcement of [the] contract."

The Expert viewed the Complainant's further statement, made in connection with paragraph 13(b) of the Procedure, on the basis that this was restricted to a copy of a case involving the Complainant under the Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy, namely Information Tools Limited v. Kanishka Dayal, WIPO Case No. DCO2011-0032. This case added nothing to the Complainant's submissions in the present matter and the Expert did not find it necessary to take it into consideration. Accordingly, the Expert did not invite the Respondent to comment upon it.

✓ Yes □ No

### 8. Decision

•	Transfer	<b>✓</b>	No action		
(	Cancellation		Suspensio	n	
(	Other (please state)				
Signed				Dated: 2 April, 2012	
	Andrew D.S.Lothian				