1799. June 25.

JAMES BUCHANAN against MICHAEL MUIRHEAD and Others.

No. 7.

A clause in a contract, constituting a mercantile company for twenty-one years, by which all disputes which should arise among the partners, or their representatives, relating to the affairs of the company, were submitted to the final determination of the chairman, deputy-chairman, and secretary of the Chamber of Commerce of Glasgow, or any two of them for the time being, unless special arbiters should be agreed on, found not to be obligatory.

Fac. Coll.

This case is No. 30. p. 14593. voce Society.

1800. November 25. John Taylor against John Grieve.

A SUBMISSION of certain disputes was entered into between Mr. Buchan A submission Hepburn and John Taylor, on the one part, and John Grieve on the other. The submission was also subscribed by Thomas Edington, as cautioner for Grieve.

A submission expires only from the date of the last subscription,

The submission empowered the arbiter to determine between and

or any other period to which he might the subscrip-

prorogate the submission.

It was subscribed by the principal parties on the 5th July 1798, and by Edington on the 24th of that month. The parties stated their pleas before the arbiter, who, without having prorogated the submission, pronounced an award on the 15th July 1799, by which he ordained a sum to be paid by Grieve to Taylor.

Taylor having given Grieve a charge on the decree-arbitral, he brought a suspension, in which he pleaded,

1st, The decree-arbitral is void, one of the witnesses to the submission being designed son of "William Cadell of Carron Park;" whereas he is the son of John Cadell of Cockenzie; 26th December 1752, Creditors of Graham against Grierson, No. 136. p. 16902. 17th November 1787, Archibald against Marshall, No. 143. p. 16907. 28th November 1787, Douglas, Heron, and Company, against Clerk, No. 144. p. 16908.

2dly, The submission had expired before the date of the decree-arbitral. The principal parties empowered the arbiter to pronounce a decree-arbitral only within a year of the date of their subscriptions, unless he should prorogate the

No. 8.
A submission expires only from the date of the last subscription, even although it should be the subscription, not of one of the principal parties, but of a cautioner.

An objection to the designation of an instrumentary witness to a submission, found to be removed by homologation.