## PRISONER.

## 1785. July 12.

WILLIAM MACCUBBIN against THOMSON and Others, his Creditors.

MR MACCUBBIN, when suing for the benefit of the law in the process of cessio bonorum, was opposed by his Creditors, on this ground, That though there had not arisen any suspicion of fraudulent concealment of his effects, yet that his insolvency had proceeded, not from unforeseen losses, but merely from an expensive style of living, unsuitable to his income, and inconsistent with any reasonable prospect of paying the debts he contracted.

The majority of the Court considered the above as a sufficient reason for with holding that *flebile remedium*; and therefore,

THE LORDS found, " That the pursuer was not entitled to the benefit in a question."

> Alt. H. Erskine. Act. Honyman. Fol. Dic. v. 4. p. 140. Fac. Col. No 220. p. 347.

WILLIAM FRASER against His CREDITORS. 1786. March 10.

FRASER, a trader, who brought an action of cessio bonorum, acknowledged, on being required to produce his books of account, that he had not kept any such; upon which it was

trade who o. mits to keep accountbooks, not entitled to the benefit . of cessio....

No 100. A person in

Observed on the Bench; That he had thus rendered it impossible to prove. in terms of law, that his bankruptcy had been occasioned by innocent misfortunes; and therefore,

THE LORDS found the pursuer not entitled to the benefit in question.

S.

S.

Act. Corbet. Alt. Nairne. Fol. Dic. v. 4. p. 140. Lac. Col. No 269. p. 416.

1791. March 5.

MACDOWAL against MOLIERE. .

No 110. In an action of damages for seduction, instituted by Catharine Moliere One impriagainst Macdowal, the Court found her entitled on that account, to a certain soned for a sum of money, for which she used ultimate diligence against him. Having mages, tho' been incarcerated at her instance, he raised a process of cessio bonorum, in titled to the which she appeared, and maintained, that he ought not to receive this benefit benefit of to her prejudice, to whom he owed a debt ex delicto, for reparation of the in- bankruptcy jury she had sustained from him.

The Court took notice, that in cases of this kind, there had occurred some contrarjety in the decisions. In the case of Malloch, 19th November 1751,

claim of dacessio, if the arose from other causes.

No 108. The benefit refused, if the bankruptcy be occasioned by extravagance.

SECT. 2.