No 201.

bonorum, might, upon his getting out of prison, go into England, and convey his effects, as he pleased, without those to whom he granted his preserences being accountable therefor.

Answered for Thomson, The equality of creditors is a mere speculative point; it is but at best the conclusion of abstract reasoning. The Roman law preferred creditors according to the priority of their diligence; there can be no breach of morality in a creditor's getting payment of his fair debt the speediest way, or the most secret way that he can.

The words of the act 1696 are indeed general; but so are those of all general laws; and it was never intended, that this law, though general in its effects in Scotland, should have effect over debts due by persons in England, and payable in England; nor is there any instance where a mere positive institution, a retrospect too, the creature of a statute, and not of the common law, should be the rule for deciding differences concerning such debts.

'THE LORDS reduced the affignation, and remitted to the Lord Ordinary to proceed accordingly.'

Act. J. Dalrymple, Brown, Lockbart. Alt. Geo. Pringle, A. Pringle, Advocatus.

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 55. Fac. Col. No 116. p. 211.

J. Dalrymple.

1780. August 10.

DUGALD CAMPBELL against Neil Maggibbon and Colin Campbell.

Dugald Campbell, Macgibbon, and Colin Campbell, were, among feveral other persons, creditors of Archibald Fletcher. In December 1778, some of these last-mentioned persons used diligence against him; and Dugald Campbell then charged him upon letters of horning. In the following month, Fletcher indorfed to Macgibbon and Colin Campbell, who lived in the same neighbourhood with him, two bills, towards payment of the debts which he owed to them. In the beginning of March thereafter, and within fixty days of the date of the indorsations, Dugald Campbell executed a caption against Fletcher, by incarcerating him. He then brought a process against Macgibbon and Colin Campbell, concluding, upon the act 1696, for reduction of these indorsations.

Pleaded for the pursuer, The act 1696 declares, 'all and whatsoever voluntary dispositions, assignations, or other deeds, which shall be found to be made and granted, directly or indirectly, by the dyvor or bankrupt, either at or after his becoming bankrupt, or in the space of fixty days of before, in favour of a creditor, either for his satisfaction, or farther security, in preference to other creditors, to be void and null.' This statute, then, is not confined to dispositions and assignations alone, but extends to all other deeds, by which, whether directly or indirectly, or whether, for the satisfaction or farther security of a particular creditor, the bankrupt endeavours to give him a preference over the rest. According-

Vol III.

No 202. Found that an indorfation to bills of exchange, by a debtor to two of his creditors, who lived in the neighbourhood with bim, made within 60 days of his bankruptcy. was an alienation that fell under the act 1696.

No 202.

ly it has been found by the Court to reach the case of the actual delivery of moveables; See p. 1124. and p. 1128. And, on the same principle, it would feem that payment in current money should in like manner be comprehended.

But the present argument is independent of that matter; because an indorsation to a bill is very different in its nature from actual payment in cash. The latter is an immediate extinction of debt. The former does not in itself extinguish debt, and may not be even the means of doing it. It is the payment thereby obtained which extinguishes a debt. Bills indeed in the hands of an onerous indorfee are often compared to bags of money, free from all exceptions, and in particular the claim of compensation. But however just the comparison may be in some respects, it surely does not follow, that it must equally hold in all; as, in particular, that because the delivery by a debtor to his creditor of a bag of money equivalent to the debt extinguishes it, the indorfation to a bill should have the same effect, though it may very well happen that a bill for the largest fum may not produce a fingle farthing. Although, therefore, it were granted, that actual payment is not within the fanction of the statute, and though it were likewise admitted, that bills indorsed for present value are without that enactment; fill it is clear, that bills, like those in question, indorfed in satisfaction or fecurity of prior debts, are comprehended under it, and are void, February 2. 1700, Durward contra Wilson, No 191. p. 1119.; January 16. 1713, Campbell contra Graham, No 192. p. 1120.; Lord Bankton, b. 1. tit. 13. § 28.

Answered, Bills in the possession of onerous indorsees are free from every exception or restraint which do not appear ex facie of them, without any distinction from their onerosity arising out of present or prior value. In particular, they are exempted from the claim of compensation, Kilkerran, p. 85; Thomson against Colvill, voce Bill of Exchange; Douglas contra Elliot, January 7. 1757, Fac. Col. No 8. p. 13. voce Bill of Exchange; and if so, they should as little be liable to the exception of the act 1696. Accordingly as payments in money do not fall under this statute, so neither do indorsations to bills of exchange; August 1. 1760, Bean contra Strachan, No 37. p. 907.

The Court confidered cases of this kind as different from those in which the debtor and creditor live at a great distance from each other, and where payments could not be easily made, except by the intervention of bills. In that case, the bills would not have fallen under the act 1696; but to sustain indorsations, such as the present, made by one neighbour to another, it was observed, might tend in a great measure to defeat the purpose of that statute.

THE LORD ORDINARY had found 'the bills subject and liable to be reduced upon the act of Parliament 1696; and the defenders liable to repeat the pay-

- ment of them, which they had received, for helpoof of the purfuer, and the
- ment of them, which they had received, for behoof of the purfuer, and the whole creditors of Fletcher.'

To this interlocutor 'The Lords adhered.'

Lord Ordinary, Monboddo. Act. Cullen. Alt. Ilay Campbell. Clerk, Campbell. Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 55. Fac. Col. No. 125. p. 230.

Stewart.