ALIMENT.

(OF THE ACT 1491.)

Nor can he claim an aliment from her as liferentrix; for in fact fhe is not fuch, being a creditor on the effate for the annuity payable by that contract. At any rate, there is reafon to doubt if fuch a claim, made by fiars, ever had any proper foundation in the law of Scotland; but certainly it cannot be fupported, when coming from a healthy young man, able, like the purfuer, to earn his live-lihood by his labour; Erikine, p. 333.

Anfwered: It is now an undoubted rule, that liferenters are bound to aliment fuch fiars as are otherwife defitute of any fund of fublistence. It was eftablished, in the case of wardholdings, by act of Parliament 1491, cap. 25. and has been, by practice, extended to that of every kind of holding; as it is evident from Dictionary, voce Aliment; whence it likewife appears, that this claim has never been denied, except either where the heir possibiled feparate means of fubfishence, that, in the prefent case, are far from occurring, or where the feanty circumflances of the liferenter did not admit it; which furely cannot be faid of the defender, who has obtained L. 130 of jointure for her tocher of L. 500.

The Court diffinguished the case of an annuitant from that of a liferenter; a diffunction established in the case of Mirrie *contra* Pollock, July 1731, Remark.. Decif. No 25. fupra.

' THE LORDS therefore fuftained the defences."

A&. Dav. Rae.	Alt. Ilay Campbell.	Clerk, Tat.
Stewart.	Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 22.	Fac. Col. No 112. p. 209.

1780. August 10. ISABEL MEARNS against REBECCA GIEBON.

REBECCA GIBBON, the widow of John Mearns, by a fecond marriage, was his univerfal difponee. Ifabel Mearns, who was his only child, and born of the former marriage, purfued her for an aliment. The latter was then upwards of fifty years of age, a widow alfo, and had formerly received her portion from her father.

The Court appointed the purfuer to give in a condefcendence of her age and circumftances; from which it appeared the was able to earn the means of fubfiftence by her labour. But, as they confidered a claim for aliment, though competent against parents, or other very near relations, *fuper jure natura*, not to be transmissible against their representatives, by which it might be extended very far indeed; this appeared to be the ground upon which

THE LORDS ' affoilzied from the claim of aliment.'

Act. Buchan-Hepburn.Alt. Hay.Sterwart.Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 22.Fac. Col. No 124. p. 229

No 28. Aliment not due by a father's reprefentatives.

No 27.