## No 163.

1604

horning in fuch a cafe; and, therefore, the charger must be referved to his action at common law.

The bill was ordered to paffed on juratory caution, because it was offered, otherwise would have been paffed simply without caution. See SUMMAR DILI-GENCE.

Alt. Al. Miller.

Act. W. Baillie.

## Fac. Col. No 196. p. 132.

Clerk, -

## 1777. February 27.

COOPER against CLARK.

COOPER applied to Clark for the loan of L. 100, and Clark, instead of cash, indorfed him a bill to that amount, due to him by Wann and Watfon, but of which the term of payment was past, the bill being due 19th December 1793, and the indorfation given 4th January 1774. Clark, in return, granted his own bill, payable at fix months, for L. 102: 10s. thus including the interest. Wann and Watfon being unable to pay, Cooper protested the bill against them, and against Clark for recourse ; who being fued, prefented a bill of fuspension. Clark. in the mean time, indorfed Cooper's bill to Maclintock, merely as a truftee ; and he fueing for payment against Cooper, the latter prefented a bill of fuspension; and both being conjoined, came to be discussed together. It was urged for Clark, That though recourse is competent against the drawer or indorfer, where the indorfation is made before the bill is payable, it is otherwife where the indorfation is made after the term of payment is past, for the bill has then loft its privileges; for, what is the meaning of recourse being preferved by a proteft taken within the days of grace, if a proteft taken long after their expiry, and after the bill had become due, were to have the fame effect ? Answered, The forfeiture, of recourse, in ordinary cases, is the just consequence of the negligence of the holder of a bill, in not taking early and timeous measures for obtaining payment; which negligence is imputable, if a proteft is not taken within the days of grace : but, in the prefent cafe, the indorfee had no opportunity of taking fuch timeous measures; for the term of payment was past before he got right to the bill.

THE LORDS found recourse competent to Cooper against Clark, on Wann and Watson's bill; and therefore, in the first sufpension, found the letters orderly proceeded, and suffained the said claim of recourse in compensation of the bill granted by Cooper to Clark, and indorsed to Maclintock; and sound Cooper and Maclintock jointly liable in expences. See AFPENDIX.

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 85.

ND 16.4. A bill was indorfed after the term of payment was paft. Recourfe was found competent, though the ordinary rules of negotiation could not be applicd.