

1777. *July 2.* M'LEAN of DRUMNIN *against* The DUKE of ARGYLE.

IN the question betwixt M'Lean of Drumnin against the Duke of Argyle, it was objected to a sasine taken in the year 1702, that the witnesses signed only the last page, and that the number of pages were not mentioned in the docquet of the notary, the sasine consisting of several pages. The Lords repelled both objections.

See *Douglas of Douglas against Earl of Selkirk.*

M'LEAN *against* The DUKE of ARGYLE.

A BARONY, though consisting of lands, teinds, fishings, &c. is an *universitas*, and a sasine, by the symbols of earth and stone, will carry it, if taken at the place where so appointed. Dispensation, as to the separate symbols, follows the Union, and dispensation as to the place where probably the separate symbols could not be had.

M'LEAN *against* The DUKE of ARGYLE.

IN the process M'Lean against Duke of Argyle, it was objected to a sasine in the year 1679 in favour of the Earl of Argyle, That the charter contained a dispensation to take infestment at _____ or upon any part of the ground of the lands; whereas, in fact, the sasine was given not at one, but at different places: in a word, the dispensation was not made use of. The Lords disregarded the objection. It was further objected that the sasine was incorrect, as it mentioned that infestment had been given in certain of the lands upon the 2d, 3d, 4th, and 5th days of November, without specifying the particular parcels in which infestment was given each day. The Lords also disregarded this objection.

SEQUESTRATION.

1776. *July 20.* JOHNSTON of LAIRDHOLM, Petitioner.

A SALE having been raised at the instance of an apparent heir, the heir peti-