

county, for and towards payment of the above sum, hereby awarded in name of damages.”

In the above case, the Lords gave no expenses; the law libelled on does not allow them: but afterwards, on a petition, 4th March 1775, they gave expenses, but under the name of further damages.

See 4 *New Coll.*, p. 25.

RUN-RIG LANDS.

1777. *January 15.* WODDROP *against* GRAY.

THE division of lands lying run-rig proceeds on the statute 1695, c. 28. It contains a virtual exception of mansion-house and policy.

In a cause, Woddrop *against* Gray, two heritors in the neighbourhood of Glasgow, 14th June 1775, it occurred, What fell to be comprehended under the denomination of mansion-house and what under that of policy?

Under the word mansion-house seemed to be comprehended, not only the mansion-house itself, properly so called, but the offices, such as stable, barn, byre, coach-house and the like; which truly make a part of the mansion-house. As to a pigeon-house, especially if at a distance, there seemed more doubt; but as to sheds for cattle, sheep-houses, or the like, these were appanages of the land, and not parts of the mansion-house.

Policy, again, seemed to comprehend gardens, orchards, and plantations immediately adjoining to the mansion-house.

The Lords, before advising, remitted to Lord Alva, to inquire further into facts concerning the building of the offices, the time of doing so, &c. and to report.

His Lordship reported accordingly, and, upon advising the whole, the Lords pronounced this interlocutor:—“Find that offices proper and necessary for the proprietor of the mansion-house are no proper subject of division under the Act 1695; and remit to the Ordinary to proceed accordingly.”

As to the mansion-house itself, see Fount., 7th December 1698, *Trotter*.

1774. *January 28.* DAVID RUSSELL, and Other FEUARS of Tranent, *against* The YORK-BUILDING COMPANY.

DAVID Russell, and Others, feuars of the run-rig lands of Tranent, a burgh of barony, pursued a division thereof on the Act 1695, in which they were opposed by the York-Building Company, the superiors, and others. It was admitted that the lands lay really run-rig; but the question came, Whether the