APPENDIX.

PART I.

PRIVILEGED DEBT.

1776. Nov. 21.

NEILSON.

A CLAIM for a widow's mournings was found not effectual, in competition NO. 1. with the husband's creditors, where the marriage had been dissolved within year and day.

 $*_{*}$ This case is No. 375. p. 6165. The Editor not having been able to nd the session papers, has not had it in his power to report the argument.

1804. Nov. 14. LOCKHART against PATERSON.

NO. 2.

An action was brought before the Sheriff of Stirling, by George Lock-The act of sederunt, 23d hart, labourer in Balfron, against William Paterson, merchant in Stirling, January 1779; for payment of a small sum due to him by Peter Connell, tenant in Ardin-gives a prefinlay, for wages, as a reaper. Connell was bankrupt; and the action was farm-servants brought against Paterson, as having intromitted with his effects. The sum-hired by the mons concluded for payment of the sum due, as a preferable debt, in terms of day to perform harvest the act of sederunt, 23d January 1779. The Sheriff found Paterson liable; work as well and the cause having been brought by suspension before the Court of Seshired for a term,