1766. November 22.

WILLIAM WRIGHT and MARY GRAHAM, his Mother-in-law.

Ir being objected to the competency of an advocation, that the process was finished before the Sheriff by a decree; and, therefore, that a suspension was the only competent remedy: The objection was repelled upon the ground of utility, an advocation being a more easy remedy than a suspension, and equally susceptible of being remitted with an instruction. An extract indeed must bar advocation, because after extract the cause cannot be remitted:

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 20. Select Dec. No 250. p. 322.

No 20. Advocation competent affer decree, is before extract. See No 7.

No 21 ...

In a claim for a fum below

L. 12, a bill

ceived, even

of remitting

with instruc-

See No 18 .-

of advocation : cannot be re-

1775. July 6. Euphan Cuningham against Robert Cuningham.

In a question between these parties, respecting the reparation of some houses upon a farm, the Judge Ordinary having repelled the defender's plea against his being bound to repair the houses, which the pursuer, in obedience to an order of Court, estimated at L. 6: 19:11 Sterling, the defender applied to this Court for an advocation of the cause, or a remit, with instructions to associate him from the article in question. And the Lord Ordinary, officiating on the bills, having resuled the bill, but remitted to the Sheriss, with this instruction, that he associate the complainer from the pursuer's claim, respecting the reparation of the houses; the pursuer reclaimed, insisting that the bill, and procedure thereon, was incompetent, the article disputed being only L. 6: 19:11 Sterling. The bill of advocation respected no other point in the process; and, by 20th Geo. II. c. 43. no cause can be advocated for a sum below L. 12: And the practice, in some cases, of remits upon bills of advocation, in causes for smaller sums, was sound to be erroneous in a case decided 24th November 1767, Auld and Company against Wilson*.

'The Court remitted to the Lord Ordinary to refuse the bill of advocation, as incompetent.'

Act. G. Clerk. Alt. Tytler. Clerk, Tait.

Alt. Tytler. Clerk, Tait.
Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 20. Wallace, No 177. p. 94.

1776. December 18.

STEELE against Thomson.

Two persons being proprietors pro indiviso of a meadow, a verbal agreement passed, by which the one let the ground to the other for three years, who laboured it, and reaped a crop of oats; the other resiling, in respect the bargain had never been formally completed, the Sheriss, in a process brought before him,

No 22.
Advocation found competent, as involving a question of right, althou

^{*} Not found. Examine General Lift of Names.