APPENDIX.

PART I.

PARENT AND CHILD.

1759. March 8.

MARY SCOT contra MARY SHARP.

MARY Scor, daughter of Scot of Highchester, having by unlucky circum- The general stances been reduced to indigence, was alimented by her mother Lady disponee of Mary Drummond, at the rate of L. 20 yearly. Lady Mary, at the ap- a parent found obproach of death, settled all her effects upon Mary Sharp, her daughter of liged to alianother marriage, taking no other notice of her daughter Mary Scot than ment a daughter recommending her to the charity of Mary Sharp. After the mother's left in indeath, Mary Scot brought a process for aliment against her sister Mary digence, with only a Sharp, founded chiefly on the said recommendation. A proof was taken recommenof the extent of the effects contained in the settlement to the defendant, dation to the charity which amounted to about L. 300 Sterling. It was pretty obvious, that no of the disaction either in law or equity could be founded on the recommendation, poneevery different in its nature from an order or command. But then it was stated, that the pursuer being very young when her father died, was educated by her mother in no sort of business by which she could gain a livelihood; and it occurred to the Court, that though the patria potestas is such that a peer may breed his son a cobler, and after putting him in business with a competent stock, is relieved from all further aliment; yet if a son be bred as a gentleman, without being instructed in any art that can gain him a farthing, he is entitled to be alimented for life; for otherwise a pal-

NO. 1.

No. 1.

pable absurdity will follow, that a rich man may starve his son, or leave him to want and beggary. Thus Lady Mary Drummond, breeding her daughter to no business, was, by the law of nature, bound to aliment her for life, or at least till she should be otherwise provided; and the pursuer therefore being a creditor for this aliment, has a good action against the mother's representatives. The Court accordingly found the pursuer entitled to an aliment of L. 12 Sterling yearly, and decerned against the defendant for the same.

3 4 A CE

Sel. Dec. No. 153. p. 209.