the faid adjudication, neither had he title to redeem the fame; and found that he was not obliged to account by a rental from the time of his obtaining decreet of declarator, nor even after the interlocutor preferring him to the mails and duties, for payment of his feu-duties bygone and in time coming, but was only accountable for his actual intromissions.

No 7.

No 8. Rents uplift-

ed by a perfon in posses-

fion of a judgment of the

Session, being expended in

Court of

defending

that right in an appeal,

where it was fet afide,

were found

bona fide percepti.

For Grange, Hay. Alt. A. Macdouall. Clerk, Kirkpatrick. <sup>1</sup>
Fol. Dic. v. 2. p. 94. D. Falconer, v. 1. No 258. p. 348.

## 1751. January 23. The Creditors of Kinminity against Sutherland.

In the case between these parties, 23d November 1748, D. Falconer, p. 14. voce Heir Apparent, the Lords having found the decreets of constitution could only have effect as decreets cognitionis causa, to affect those lands to which the debtor had a title made up in his person; in consequence removed the sequestration of the estate of Clyne.

The judgment was reversed upon an appeal, but there was no appeal brought against removing the sequestration.

The creditors purfued the heir of Clyne, and his mother the factor, for the rents of the said estate; who defended themselves, that she had employed them, in defending against the appeal: The sequestration being removed, the heir might recover the rents from the sactor, and bona side expend them; and it was the same thing if the sactor, his mother, expended them for his use.

THE LORDS found, that the fequestration being removed, and no appeal brought against the order removing it, the lady was in bona fide to apply rents in her hand, in supporting the Lords decreet against the appeal brought against it.

Reporter, Justice Clerk. Clerk, Forbes.

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 95. D. Falconer, v. 2. No 184. p. 224.

1757. December 1. Gordon against Maitland.

A LADY having possessed an estate for some years, upon a disposition which was afterwards set aside, her bona side intromission with the rents was sound to impute in payment of the annualrents on a bond of provision due to her upon that estate; but the surplus rents were sound bona side percepti, and not imput able in extinction of the principal sum in the bond of provision.

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 94. Fac. Col. No. 63. p. 101

See The particulars voce TAILZIE.

No 9.