the shire of Caithness, without being possessed of the legal qualifications required by the then act of supply, the Lords assoilzied; for they thought that these qualifications related only to particular persons nominatim appointed Commissioners, not to those appointed virtute officii.

N. B. The persons now entitled to act are such as stand infeft in lands within the county, of L. 100 valued rent in property or superiority, or their eldest sons and heirs apparent; and Provosts, Bailies, Deans of Guild, Treasurers, Masters of Merchant Companies, or Deacon Conveners of the trades, for the time, of any royal burgh, and Bailies of burghs of regality and barony, if mentioned in the act, either by name, or by their office. See APPENDIX.

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 410.

1753. February 21. ABERCROMBY against LESLY.

No 85.

No 84.

The supply acts name a day upon which the Commissioners of Supply are to meet, and their after meetings are held by adjournment; and divisions of valuation are reduced, if made at meetings neither held upon that day, nor by adjournment, nor by summons from the convener.

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 410.

- ** This case is No 6. p. 2437, voce Commissioners of Supply.
- ** A similar decision was pronounced, 9th January 1754, Cunningham against Stirling, No 7. p. 2438, also voce Commissioners of Supply.

1756. December 14.

Sir Robert Gordon of Gordonston, Sir Alexander Grant of Dalvey, John Innes of Leuchars, and Ludovick Grant of Grangereen, Petitioners.

These gentlemen being possessed of land in the county of Murray, which stood in the cess-books valued in cumulo with the other lands in the county, applied to a general meeting of the Commissioners of Supply, on the 17th August 1756, for a division of those cumulo valuations. The Commissioners proceeded to the division, took a proof of the real rent of the lands, and remitted to an accountant to proportion the valuation according to the real rent.

At a subsequent meeting on the 1st of October thereafter, the accountant's report not being ready, the Commissioners adjourned the general meeting to the second Tuesday of May.

The petitioners applied to the convener of the Commissioners, requiring him to call a general meeting immediately; for that before the second Tuesday of Vol. XX.

48 I

No 86.
The convener of Commissioners of
Supply is entitled to call
an immediate
general meeting, notwithstanding of an
adjournment
made by the
Commissioners to a distant day.