WITNESS.

man, who made the stamp for the King's face. The man most dangerous was Parker: But as he was the person who was prevailed upon to make the discovery, it became necessary to attack Young; and in the trial which was in this cause, as usual, *per modum simplicis querelæ*, the objection made for the pannel to Parker, when adduced as a witness, That he was *socius criminis*, was repelled; an objection never sustained *in crimine falsi*. But when his examination was over, the pannel was allowed to put the question, Whether he had been promised a pardon? as what might affect his credibility with the jury, and to which he deponed *negativé*.

Kilkerran, No. 14. p. 602.

1750. July 13. FALCONER of Physical against FALCONER,

In this cause, which is stated in the decision 23d June last, betwixt the same parties, No. 182. p. 16759. Patrick Falconer sought to adduce John Douglas of Tulliquholly as a witness for him, and particularly to prove the Lady's having expressed her good intentions towards him, before and after granting the bond in his favour; and insisted he was a habile witness, consistent with the former interlocutor, as he neither was in the defendant degree of relation to him, nor had himself got any bond.

The administrator in law, of a person benefitted by certain deeds, sustained as a witness, relative to a matter connected with these deeds.

No. 184.

Answered: There was a bond granted to his infant daughter, to whom he was administrator in law, which was under reduction, and he called as a party to the process; so that the same objection lay against him, as those who got bonds themselves.

The Lords, on the Lord Ordinary's report, sustained this objection; but afterwards, on bill and answers, allowed him to be examined, on the Lady's having expressed her design of giving something to Patrick Falconer.

Act. H. Home.

D. Falconer, v. 2. p. 173 ...

Alt. Lockhart.

1751. February 22. SIR DAVID CUNNINGHAM against Scot.

The objection to a witness adduced by Sir David, for proving that James Scot had encroached upon Sir David's property, by ploughing and inclosing a part of Drumshorland-muir, that belonged to Sir David, That he had an interest as being tenant of the ground that lay contiguous to the alleged encroachment, and had,

No. 185. If the tenant be admitted for his master to prove the marches of his own possession ?* ?

16761

No. 183.

No. 185. ploughed part of that very muir, which was the subject in controversy, and so would be a gainer or loser by the cause, and which the Commissioner for taking of the proof had sustained, was so far repelled as to allow the witness to be received, reserving the consideration of the objection till advising; the like occurring every day in questions about marches, where the tenant himself is always received.

Kilkerran, No. 15. p. 602.

1751. July 16. The KING'S ADVOCATE against HERDS.

No. 186.

The King's advocate insisting in a complaint against John and David Herds, for forging a bill, adduced as witnesses the alleged acceptors thereof.

Objected, They are inhabile witnesses, as interested in the cause : The complaint directly concludes that the bill should be declared forged ; whereby they, by their own deposition, will be freed of their obligation.

Answered, They are no parties to this action; and can directly take nothing by the decreet: When crimes are pursued by the King's advocate, and especially in crimes excepted with regard to the strict rules of evidence, the person lesed is admitted a witness.

The Lords sustained the objection.

Act. Advocatus.

Alt. A. Macdowal. D. Falconer, v. 2. p. 266.

1751. November 22.

ALEXANDER IRVINE against ALEXANDER RAMSAY-IRVINE.

No. 187.

A witness having expressed resentment, and being purged, and no tause of ill-will shown, reprobator was not sustained.

Alexander Irvine of Saphock, by contract of marriage betwixt Alexander Ramsay, afterwards called Irvine, and Mary his daughter, disponed his estate to the said Alexander and his heirs-male; which failing, his heirs and assigns whatsoever.

Saphock died, and afterwards his daughter without children, whereupon Alexander Irvine, son of John Irvine writer in the Chancery office, to whom the estate had been destined by a former deed, raised a reduction of the disposition; insisting on Saphock's incapacity at the time of executing it; and adduced his relict as a witness: To whom it was objected, That she had on many occasions declared her malice and ill-will to the defender, wishing and praying that he might lose his cause; and uttered the most horrid imprecations against him, without any cause whatever: And this is offered to be instantly proved, by many witnesses who are presently in this place. These are the words in which the objection was made by the defender's agent, though not marked in the minute; as neither was the inter-

16762