No 24.

devised; and as it is specially conceived in favours of creditors, as the act itself expresses it, so the ratio of the law plainly points out, that it was for their behoof only that it was framed, in order to their being acquainted, as the act says, with the state and condition of the estate, and have yearly occasion to see the form of administering it: But the estate of Renton is not so much as pretended to be bankrupt; nor was it sequestrated on that account, the sequestration being made by ordinance of the House of Peers, as being alleged to be in Sir Patrick's person as a trust, and therefore sequestrated only to the behoof of him who should be found to have the best right: Nevertheless,

'The Lords found the factor having failed to observe the tenor of the act of sederant, they removed him conform to the said act?'

Act. Se. Alt. Hay. Clerk, M'Kenzie.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 288. Bruce, v. 2, No 13. p. 16.

1750. January 11.

M'LEAN Petitioner.

ROBERT M'ALASTER being appointed factor on the sequestrated estate of Skelbo, obtained decrees against Erick Sutherland, Esq; commonly called Lord Duffus, for certain tack-duties due by him for his possession of a part of the estate, for some years preceding the year 1742.

By a subsequent act of factory, Robert M'Alaster was discharged, and James M'Lean appointed factor, with power to uplift the rents and duties of crop 1743, and in time coming till recalled, and all other powers usual, and likewise to call M'Alaster the former factor to account.

In virtue of this factory, M'Lean brought a process before the Lords against Erick Sutherland for the rents of crop 1743, and for the sums contained in the decrees obtained against him for preceding years by M'Alaster the former factor, which the Ordinary once and again 'Sustained, and decerned for the sums contained in the said decrees;' but at last, upon a representation from the defender, 'Superseded advising the debate as to the rents preceding the 1743, contained in the decrees obtained by the former factor against the defender, until the factor should apply to the Lords to have his factory ex-

plained.'

And the factor having now applied for such explanation, The Lords' Found

And the factor having now applied for such explanation, the Lords 'Found' the factor entitled to pursue for the sums contained in the decrees, and decerned.'

Kilkerran, (FACTOR.) No 8. p. 184.

No 25. A factor appeinted by the Court found entitled to-pursue for sums contain ed in decrees obtained by a former factor who had been discharged.