1736. July 14. ROBERTSON against POTTER.

No. 2.

STRANGERS pursuing here may be obliged to find caution not only de expensis, but also in any reconvention that may be brought against them; but this refused where the ground of the stranger's process is instantly instructed, though a proof be allowed of the defence. (See Dict. No. 5. p. 4644.)

1739. July 19. ROBERTSON against POTTER and HORN.

No. 3

STRANGERS—their factors pursuing here found liable in expenses, if the process be found vexatious, though no fault in the factors. *Vide* FACTOR. (See DICT. No. 4. p. 4643.)

1745. June 11. WILLIAM WESTCOMB against REBECCA Dodds.

No. 4.

An Englishman who had resided, and had a little office here sometime, but which he gave up and returned to reside in England, being pursued before the Commissaries in an adherence to a marriage alleged solemnized while he resided here, he offered advocation because of incompetency; but the Court considered it as *quæstio status* both of the wife and child of the marriage, but not as to aliment, or the other ordinary conclusions; yet I believe we refused the advocation. (See Dict. No. 14. p. 4793.)

1749. June 8.

COUNT ANTONIUS LESLIE against GORDON of Cowbardie.

No. 5. Alien by the law of Scotland cannot succeed to heritage in Scotland, and he was deemed an alien, he and his father being born in Germany, though his grandfather was a Scotsman; found unanimously; and a particular factory to uplift the rents and let the lands, whereof the right was quarrelled by the Count, found a sufficient title to make the objection; but the substitute heirs in that right were thought to have no sufficient title. Vide Case of Captain Gordon of Park, voce Tailzie. (See Dict. No. 2. p. 4636.)

See No. 3. and No. 21. voce EXECUTOR. See Notes.