BANKRUPT.

1747. February 24.

COCHRANS against COUTS.

WILLIAM COCHRAN of Ferguflee let his real effate defcend in courfe of law to John his eldeft fon, and difponed to him his moveables, under the burden of certain provisions to his younger children, particularly of 5000 merks Scots to each of Bethia and Jean his two daughters.

John confirmed himfelf executor to his father, giving up in inventory, at different times, fuch fums as he found neceffary in order to recover them; but the whole inventory did not equal the provisions to the children, though it was alleged that the moveable eftate intromitted with by him was of greater extent; and the Lords did not think it incumbent on the daughters to prove intromiffion beyond the inventory, nor to what amount, in this process, their father having died fo long ago as 1721.

John paid his brothers their provisions, and his fifters the interest of theirs; and dying, was fucceeded by William his brother, having fold part of the estate; and William granted a wadset of the remainder for his own pre-contracted debts to John Blackwood and John Cathcart of London, merchants, excepting a house and garden in Paisley, and a piece of land called Serjeant's Acre; and on this wadset an adjudication was led; which right came into the person of John Couts merchant in Edinburgh. Mr Couts raised a process of ranking and fale of his debtor's estate, which was cast, as not containing the whole, to wit, the parcels not contained in his wadset and adjudication.

After this William Cochran granted to his two fifters an heritable bond on the Serjeant's Acre and houfe in Paifley, for fecurity to them of their provifions, whereon they were infeft; and Mr Couts having led a new adjudication, comprehending these fubjects, raifed a new ranking and fale, in which they compeared, craving to be preferred upon their infestment; and the LORD ORDINARY, 26th November 1746, preferred them accordingly; but, upon representation and anfwers, 23d January 1747, 'Having confidered that the heritable bond by Fer-' gusse to his fisters was granted after the diligence of his other creditors, and that the creditors were in possession of his estate, and had railed a fale, and proven the rental thereof; therefore reduced the heritable bond at the inftance of these anterior creditors.'

Pleaded in a reclaiming bill, That the only diligence flanding against William Cochran, when he granted the fecurity in queffion, was a horning, upon a decreet of mails and duties ufed against him, for the rent of part of the estate possible by him, whereon he was denounced at the market-cross of Edinburgh, without the jurifdiction where he refided; which horning could only be the foundation of a caption, but could not affect any future conveyance of his estate, either real or perfonal, Stair, p. 746, 28th March 1707, Gordon against Duff of Dipple, (infi a b. t.); neither were the creditors in possible of the fubjects wherein the petitioners had their infestment, as they were not contained in the adjudication.

VOL. III.

6 E

No 70. It was held to be fraudulent in a debtor to give a partial preference over a part of his eftate, omitted out of an adjudication affecting the greateft part of it; and after a procefs of fale. No 70.

Answered, That the petitioners were not onerous creditors either of John or William Cochrans, as their father had only burdened his moveables with his childrens provisions, which appeared by the teflament to come far flort of the proviflorts already paid to the other children; that William Cochran a bankrupt, whole eflate, bating this finall parcel, was adjudged, and a fale thereof raifed, but which process was flopt only as not containing the whole effate, ought not to have catched the opportunity of giving a preference to his fifters, gratuitous creditors. of his, before another adjudication could be got expede; that the flatute 1621. was intended to follow after, and practice the good and commendable laws, civil and canon, made anent fraudulent alienations; and by the civil law, the actio pauliana was competent for the recovery of all effects alrenated post missionem in possessioneth, and of all alienated fraudulently ante missionem, providing the action were brought within year and day of the deed quartelled, l. 1. in pr. l. 9. & 10. in pr. ff. de bis quæ in fraudem; that in this cafe the fraud was evident, and the purfuer had obtained fequestration, and brought his action of fale of the whole fubject in lefs than a year from the granting the bond.

Observed on the Bench, That though this cafe fell not under the fanction of the acts 1621, or 1696, yet that a debtor giving a partial preference over a part of his effate, omitted out of an adjudication affecting the bulk thereof, and after a process of fale, which for that reason could not be proceeded in; and when the creditors were in possession of all but the omitted particle, might be construed to have acted mala fide, and the deed perhaps fo far fet alide, that however fuch a determination were given, as it behoved folely to be founded on confiderations of equity, fo it could be extended no further than equity required, to wit, not to annul the fecurity, by which means creditors who had done diligence poflerior thereto, might come to be preferred; but to allow the whole creditors the benefit thereof : But then it was not equitable to allow this benefit to the prefent purfuer, which ad himfelf obtained a partial preference on the bulk of the effate, to the exclusion of the whole other creditors; that if the civil law were to be applied, it behoved to be confidered, that by it the creditors, upon a fufficient estate, to which a bankrupt fucceeded, could obtain from the prator a separatio bonorum; and, in reality, equity required that the fecurity given on the effate in favour of William's anterior creditors should be set aside, to make way for the payment of the creditors of his predeceffor, who left fufficient to fatisfy them.

THE LORDS altered the fecond interlocutor of the Ordinary, and adhered to the first.

Alt. W. Grani.

Act. Blackwood.

Clerk, Justice.

2

١

D. Falconer, v. 1. p. 229.