cause none but the King's judges can determine whether the directions in the warrant for the poll have been complied with.

1747. February 14. Kirkpatrick against Irvine.

[Falconer, No. 163.]

THE question here was, Whether a retour of church-lands, prior to the 1681, is a good evidence of the old extent. On the one side, it was said, that the reason why the old extent gave a vote at first, was, that it was the rule by which the public burthens were paid. But, in church-lands, that was never the rule; for, notwithstanding the Act 1594, ordaining church-lands to be extended, and notwithstanding the charters of erection bear that the lands erected shall pay taxes, not as church-lands, but as other temporal lands; yet, in fact, it is certain that church-lands never paid by the old extent, but by Bagiment's roll, till the valuation was established; and, therefore, as church-lands were never assessed by the old extent, they properly had no old extent; and, consequently, can give no title to vote by the extent. To this it was Answered, - That, though the Act 1594 did not generally take effect throughout Scotland, yet it might take effect, and actually did, in some parts of Scotland; and where it was executed, and the lands properly retoured, there appears no reason why they should not be entitled to vote. The Lords found, unanimously, (Arniston only doubting,) that the vote in this case was good.

1747. February 14. —— against ——.

FOUND,—That, the writing of a bill above a man's subscription to a burial letter, was forgery, and that it was the same thing whether the subscription was adjected to the deed, or the deed to the subscription.

1747. June 9. Bank of Scotland against —.

The Lords found that a holograph paper, after twenty years, could not prove any fact tending to establish an obligation, and was to be considered in every respect as good for nothing, unless supported as the law directs. This case was put: Suppose a holograph letter or declaration, twenty years' old, produced to instruct that an assignation was in trust; it would signify nothing, though the obligation would not arise in such a case from the paper, but from the trust of which the paper was only a proof. Dissent. Preside; Assent. Arniston, Elchies, Drummore.—Actor Craigie, Alter Ferguson.