1742. December 8.

SINCLAIR of Southdun against Commissioners of Supply of Caithness.

No. 3.

COMMISSIONERS of the year 1742, directly quartering against the Collector of Supply of 1739, and proceedings for an alleged balance due by him; suspension refused in respect of the clause in the late acts prohibiting all stops of execution; though the Commissioners of the year 1739 found no balance due, and discharged the quartering.

1744. February 17.

TOWN OF KIRKWALL against INHABITANTS of STROMNESS.

No. 4. A BURGH ROYAL cannot lay any part of its supply upon a village, (Stromness being at 12 miles distance,) not within its jurisdiction, nor having no dependance on it, however long that practice has continued; nor even upon persons living in that village who were Burgesses in that Burgh, if they now had no trade in it.—Thereafter, found Kirkwall not liable in expenses; when Arniston doubted of the former interlocutor, because of the articles of union.

1751 February 12.

GORDON against GORDON.

No. 5. The Commissioners' sentences dividing valuations, may be reviewed, and reduced by the Court of Session. See this Case, voce Member of Par-LIAMENT.

1753. August 3. Sutherdand of Swinzie against Sutherland.

No. 6. The Commissioners incapable to act in execution of the supply act 1749, though qualified before, till they qualify anew. See this Case, voce Member of Parliament.

See Public Officer.