No 26. A bill drawn

months after

date, with

year's intereft, was

fustained.

payable twelve

1743. June 10.

Schaw against Marion Russell.

A BILL being conceived in the following terms: 'November 11th 1741, 'Against Martinmas next, pay to me or my order, at \_\_\_\_\_\_, the sum of 250 merks, with the first year's interest, twelve merks and an half, value in 'your hand; Addressed to and accepted by John Schaw in Glentore:'The Lords were much divided, Whether the same was a valid bill?

On the one hand, it was faid to be null, as bearing annualrent from the date. On the other hand, it was faid, that there was no more in this, or rather not fo much, as what trading companies do every day, who, when they take their bills payable in fix months, add the fix months interest to the principal sum, whereby that interest comes to bear interest after the term of payment; whereas here only the principal sum bears interest after the term of payment, although the twelve months interest between the date and term of payment be also in obligatione.

Replied. That the cases are by no means similar; for, where the interest between the date and the term of payment is added to the sum in the bill, the bill is liable to no objection in its form; whereas here the bill is ex facie informal, as bearing a clause of annualrent, which, by the now established later practice, voids the bill.

THE LORDS ' sustained the bill' by the narrowest majority.

Fol. Dic. v. 3. p. 75. Kilkerran, (BILL of Exchange.) No 7. p. 71.

## \*\*\* C. Home reports the same case:

THE faid John Shaw being creditor to Shaw of Wester Glentore by bill, brought an action against the defender, as representing the acceptor, for payment.

Objected: The bill was void, as bearing a clause of annualrent from its date. See February 1741, Paterson contra Finlay, (supra.)

Answered: There were many decisions sustaining bills bearing a clause of annualrent, and the present case was nowise similar to the one quoted; for there the bill bore a clause of annualrent, in the same manner, as if it had been a bond: But, in the bill pursued upon, it only provides, that a year's annualrent should be paid, at the term in the bill, which was a year from the date, of which the tenor follows: 'November 11. 1731, Sir, Against Martinmas next to come, pay to me, or my order, in the dwelling-house of John Somervile of Glenhove, the sum of 200 merks and 50 merks Scots money, with the first year's interest, 12 and a half pounds Scots money, value in your hands,' &c. It was likewise observed to be the constant practive among bankers to add to the principal sum the current interest, betwixt the date of the bill and the term of payment.

THE LORDS found the defender liable for the principal fum and annualrents resting unpaid, and in time coming, while payment.

C. Home, No 236. p. 384.