No 2.

The Lords found, That the yearly modification for the communion elements, cannot, when the sacrament is not administered, be diverted to the benefit of the minister, but ought to be applied to the use of the poor. Albeit it was alleged for the defender, That he had the same right to the modification for communion elements, as to any other part of his stipend. And as there is no positive law determining that manner of application; so there hath been no decision, save one, proceeding on the minister's consent, which ought not to be a rule against those who do not consent. Besides, the minister is the most competent judge when it is fit to administer the sacrament, within the parish under his cure; and is only censurable for remissness or negligence therein, by the ecclesiastical judicatures.

Thereafter, July 28th 1713, the defender craved by a bill, 1mo, That the application of the modification, for communion elements to the use of the poor when the sacrament is not administered, might be made a rule to take effect only in time coming; and that the Lords would assoilzie him from repetition of bygones, seeing it would lay a precedent for innumerable vexatious pleas, not only against ministers in pari casu, but even against the widows and orphans of such as are dead: 2do, That the Lords would find the jus exigendi of these modifications for the poor's use, to be in the kirk session, accountable for the due administration thereof to the Justices of Peace, or other judges competent.

THE LORDS refused the desire of the petition.

Fol. Dic. v. 1. p. 155. Forbes, p. 704.

1742. June 10.

HERITORS of the Parish of Strathmiglo against MR GEORGE GILLESPIE Minister there.

Some of the heritors of the said parish brought a process against Mr Gillespie, before the sheriff of Fife, libelling, That he had neglected to administrate the sacrament for several years; notwithstanding whereof, he yearly uplifted for his own use the sum modified for communion elements, by his decreet of locality, thereby depriving the poor of the parish of the sum of —, justly appertaining to them by the laws or this kingdom: Therefore concluding, &c. The Sheriff pronounced the following interlocutor: 'Having considered this process, with the defences and answers thereto, ordains the defender to produce a signed condescendence of the time of his admission as minister of Strathmiglo, and of the years he has administered the sacrament since that time:' Whereupon he offered a bill of advocation. See 29th November 1678, Birnie, No 1. p. 2489.; 21st July 1713, Heritors of the parish of Abdie, No 2. p. 2490.

THE LORDS refused to advocate the cause.

C. Home, No 191. p. 320.

No 3. Heritors having claimed repet ition from a minister of the sum modified for communion elements, on account of neglect to administer the sacrament, were allowed to condescend on the years he had administered the sacrament.